

TITUS

**The Pilgrim Way Commentary on
the Book of Titus**



**by Dr. John Cereghin
Pastor
Grace Baptist Church of
Smyrna, Delaware**

September 2011

TITUS

The Pilgrim Way Commentary on Titus
Fourth Edition
September 2011

by Dr. John Cereghin
PO Box 66
Smyrna DE 19977
pastor@pilgrimway.org
website- www.pilgrimway.org

TITUS

Table of Contents

Apology	4
Introduction	7
Booklist	12
Titus 1	19
Titus 2	35
Titus 3	48
Bibliography	60

Apology for This Work

This commentary on Titus follows in a long line of other works by divines of the past as they have sought to study and expound this very important epistle.

This work grew out of over 25 years of both preaching through Titus in three pastorates in Maryland, Delaware and North Carolina as well as teaching through the epistle as an instructor at Maryland Baptist Bible College in Elkton, Maryland. I needed my own notes and outlines as I taught and preached from this book, so this fuller commentary flows from those notes and outlines. Thus, the layout of this commentary is a practical one, written by a preacher to be preached from in the pulpit or to be taught in a Sunday School. It was not written from an isolated study of a theologian who had little contact with people or practical ministerial experience. There are many such commentaries on the market and they tend to be someone dull and not very practical in their application.

This commentary cannot be easily classified into any single theological system. I believe that no single theological system is an accurate presentation of Scriptural truth in and of itself. When Charles Spurgeon once wrote "There is no such thing as preaching Christ and Him crucified, unless we preach what nowadays is called Calvinism. It is a nickname to call it Calvinism; Calvinism is the gospel, and nothing else", he displayed a most unfortunate theological hubris. Calvinism is a human, flawed, limited and uninspired theological system, as any other human theological system. There is some truth there, as there is in any theological system, but it ranks no better than other competing systems, such as Arminianism (which is nothing more than a modified version of Calvin's teachings), dispensationalism, covenant theology, Lutheranism, Romanism, Orthodox theology, pre-wrath rapture, take your pick. All these systems are flawed as they are all the products of human attempts to understand and systematize Biblical presentations. They can all make contributions to our overall understandings of the truth but none may claim to be the only correct such presentation, at the expense of all others. Knowing the human impossibility for absolute neutrality and the human love for theological systems, I readily admit that I cannot be as dispassionate and uninfluenced by human teachings in these pages as I would like. No man can be. But I have made every attempt not to allow my own personal systems influence my understanding of what the clear teachings of Scripture is.

I have freely consulted a wide variety of commentaries and sermons for insights and other views of various texts that I might have missed. As the old preacher once remarked "I milked a lot of cows but I churned my own butter." Direct quotes are attributed to their proper source to prevent that unpardonable sin of literary theft. But simply because I quoted a writer should not be viewed as an endorsement of all that he wrote or of his theological system. I selected the quote because I found it interesting and useful, not because I am in any degree of agreement regarding the rest of his teachings.

TITUS

This commentary is based on the text of our English Received Version, commonly referred to as the King James Version or the Authorized Version. I believe that this is the most preserved English translation available to us and that it is the superior translation in English. I can see no good reason to use or accept any of the modern versions, especially the current “flavor of the month” of the apostate professing church, the corrupt and mis-named English Standard Version. When it comes to these modern, critical text versions, I reject them for a variety of reasons. One major reason is that they have not been proven on the field of battle. I have liver spots older than the English Standard Version, but I am expected to toss my English Received Text, over 400 years old, and take up this new translation, whose ink is still barely dry? How many battles has the ESV won? How many missionaries have done great exploits with an NIV? What revivals have been birth and nurtured with an NASB? We will stick with the translations and texts that our fathers have used and that God has blessed. We are also favorably inclined to the Geneva Bible, Tyndale Bible, Matthews Bible, and other “cousins” of our English text. The Greek text used is the underlying text of our English Received Text and its 1769 revision, which is the text most widely in use today by God’s remnant.

Each verse is commented upon, with the English text, with Strong’s numbers and Greek verb tenses and parts of speech (for the Greek text). The English grammatical notes are limited to the tenses of the corresponding Greek verbs, for I believe the study of the verb tenses is the most important element of the usage of the Greek text, even moreso than word studies. Not every Greek word is commented upon, only unusual or important ones. I am guilty of “picking and choosing” my word studies instead of presenting complete word studies for every word. That system would simply be too unwieldy for my purposes.

The presupposition of this commentary is that what the Bible says is so and that we will not change the text to suit our theological fancy. It says what it says and that is what we must accept, else we will be found unfaithful stewards of the Word of God, a judgment we fear. We will not amend our text but will take it as it is the best we can.

This commentary certainly is not perfect, nor is it the final presentation of my understanding and application of the book of Titus. A commentary over 25 years in the making can never truly said to be finished. As new insights are granted by the Holy Spirit and as my understanding of the epistle deepens, additional material will be added and sections will have to be re-written. One is never truly “finished” with any theological book. As one deepens and grows in his relation with the Lord, so does his theological understandings and that should be reflected in one’s writings.

This book was also written as a theological legacy to my four children. They will need to be mighty for God in their generation for their days will certainly be darker than the generation their father grew up in. This book is an expression not only of the heart of a preacher in the early 21st century but also of a Christian father for his children, so they may more fully understand what their father believed and preached during his ministry.

TITUS

It is my sincere prayer that this unpretentious contribution to the body of Christian commentary literature will be a blessing to the remnant of God's saints in the earth as we approach the coming of our Lord.

Introduction to Titus

Authorship- The Apostle Paul. Pauline authorship has been attacked in the Pastorals than in any other of Paul's writings. Attacks started around the early 19th century by the radical Germans (who else?). Even in the 2nd century, the heretic Marcion denied Pauline authorship. Some other church fathers were critical of Pauline authorship (Tertullian, Clement of Alexandria and Jerome) because they admitted they did not like some of the material dealt with in 1 Timothy and the other Pastorals.

Critical objections to Pauline authorship of the Pastorals:

1. **Chronological and Ecclesiastical.** It is asserted that the church polity described in the Pastorals is too advanced for the 60s. A later date, well into the 2nd century, is required. **RESPONSE-** Give the early church some credit! They weren't idiots. Church growth, apostolic leadership and continuing divine revelations regarding church administration would allow the church to develop a definite polity early. There are also questions as to whether Paul was released after Acts 28 and continued his ministry until his second arrest in 2 Timothy. If Paul was not released after Acts 28, then we do have a serious chronological problem. But there are very strong indications and traditions that he was released, ministered several more years before his second Roman imprisonment and eventual death. Also see Acts 14:23; 20:17 and Philippians 1:1 to see just how advanced church polity was in this day.
2. **Doctrinal.** This complaint is similar to that above in asserting that the doctrines dealt with in the Pastorals is too advanced for the 60s. Our response is similar to accusation number 1.
3. **Linguistic.** Paul's vocabulary and style in the Pastorals is different enough to argue for non-Pauline authorship. **RESPONSE-** Paul is here writing personal letters to individuals, not to churches as in his other letters. Different audiences will call for different styles of writing and the usage of different words. A personal letter would use different words and have a different style than a more formal letter written to a church. Paul also wrote the Pastorals late in his life, after his wrote his church epistles. Maturity and continuing education and growth would allow Paul to add new words and thoughts to his vocabulary. "This phenomenon of the occurrence of new words is common in literature. The use of unusual words is a variable quantity in any author. Workman has shown that the number of new words per page in Shakespeare varies all the way from 3.4 in Julius Caesar to 10.4 in Hamlet. Even greater divergences in the occurrence of hapax legomena are found in the poetical works of Milton or Shelley. Vocabulary is greatly influenced by the material being dealt with. New ideas require new words. As an educated man, Paul had a large vocabulary at his command (D. Edmond Hiebert, *Introduction to the Pauline Epistles*, 315).
4. **Heretical.** The Pastorals deal with the gnostic heresy which did not mature until the second century, so the Pastorals must be dated into the second century. **RESPONSE-** The gnostic heresy was alive and well in Paul's day, not to mention

TITUS

other heresies. Even if the gnostic heresy did not mature until the second century, it was a problem in Paul's day and it needed to be dealt with.

Patristic witness of Pauline authorship

1. Epistle of Barnabas c. 75 A.D.
2. Clement of Rome c. 95
3. Ignatius c. 112
4. Polycarp c. 112
5. Epistle of Diognetus c. 117
6. Justin Martyr c. 146
7. Theophilus of Antioch c. 168
8. Basilides (a heretic) c. 110
9. Marcion (a heretic) c. 140
10. Heracleon (a heretic) c. 150
11. Theodotus (a heretic) c. 150
12. Tatian (a heretic) c. 160

Date of Writing- Probably around 62-63. Paul had been released from his first imprisonment in Rome, having been found not guilty of the charges made against him. Titus was written between his imprisonments. Paul was probably killed around 67 or 68. Extreme liberal dates range from 90-150. E. J. Goodspeed dated it 150. This is done by those who reject Pauline authorship for any of the pastorals, claiming the material contained within is too advanced for the "primitive" first century.

Place Written From. Possibly from Macedonia or Greece.

Addressee. Titus. He was a trusted companion to Paul who had been left to oversee the churches on the island of Crete.

Purpose of Writing. Like the first epistle to Timothy, this letter had as its purpose to give the young pastor instructions to aid him in his work. Paul was led to write to Titus because of the condition of the churches on the island of Crete, the need of Titus for help, and the fact that Zenas and Apollos were going to the island. Paul had begun to organize the work in this field but had to leave before the task was finished. The entrance of false teaching in the form of legalism necessitated a strong stand for the truth. In his task Titus needed clear instruction as well as encouragement. When Zenas and Apollos planned a journey through Crete, Paul sent Titus this letter to help and encourage him (3:13).

Observations and Remarks

1. 1 Timothy, 2 Timothy, Titus and Philemon are called Pastoral Epistles because they are addressed to individuals and deal with church administration.
2. I include Philemon in this group because of:
 1. Its position after Titus.
 2. It is addressed to an individual.

TITUS

3. It deals with a relationship problem within the church.
4. There is no good reason to associate it with Colossians, as most commentators do.
5. It is a personal letter.

Titus himself. Titus was a Greek, an uncircumcised Gentile, and so remained, as Paul refused to circumcise him since he, unlike Timothy, was a full-blooded Gentile. He was a man of great grace, and large gifts, and very dear to Paul. He calls Titus his brother, his partner, and fellow helper, and says he walked in the same spirit, and in the same steps. Titus was employed by Paul much, and sent into various parts, on different occasions: he sent him to Corinth, to finish there the collection for the poor saints at Jerusalem, and to Dalmatia, to know the state of the saints there, and to confirm them in the faith, (2 Timothy 4:10). "Lee, Parker and others conclude that Titus continued on Crete until his death at age 94 and then was buried on the island. If Humphrey's date of Titus' birth is correct, this would mean Titus died around 123-124 (D.A. Waite, "Exegesis of the Book of Titus" in *BFT Newsreport*, December 10, 1976, page 1)." There have been some suggestions that Titus was Luke's brother but there is no way to verify that.

William Kelly (*An Exposition of the Epistle of Paul to Titus and to that of Philemon*, page 5) mentions the idea that some have confused Timothy with Titus, that Luke thought that "Timothy" was just another name for Titus. Naturally, this "theory" has no merit at all. The fact that Timothy was a Jewish/Gentile mix and Titus was a full-blood Gentile should have ended any possibility for confusing these two men.

As Timothy was the man to go to Ephesus (as rather reliable church histories tell us), it would be Titus who would go to the rougher field of Crete. The personalities of both Timothy and Titus were probably suited for these two cities. Timothy may have been the quieter, more refined preacher where Titus was a bit "rougher" and less easily intimidated.

Names and Titles for Christ

1. Jesus Christ 1:1
2. Lord Jesus Christ 1:4
3. Saviour 1:4; 3:5
4. Great God 2:13
5. Redeemer 2:14
6. The Justifier 3:7

Names and Titles for God

1. Saviour 1:3
2. Father 1:4

Name and Title for the Holy Spirit

Holy Ghost 3:5

TITUS

Outline of Titus

1. Introduction 1:1-4
2. Titus' Ministry on Crete 1:5
3. Qualifications For Pastors/Elders 1:6
4. Qualifications For Pastors 1:7-9
5. Titus' Congregation 1:10-13
6. Criticism of the Judaizers 1:14-16
7. Speaking Sound Doctrine 2:1
8. Commands To Aged Men 2:2
9. Commands to Aged Women 2:3
10. Commands to Younger Women 2:4,5
11. Commands to Young Men 2:6
12. Commands For The Preacher 2:7,8
13. Commands to Servants 2:9,10
14. The Lessons of Grace 2:11-13
15. The Redemption of Christ 2:14
16. These Things Speak 2:15a
17. Let No Man Despise Thee 2:15b
18. General Commands to the Cretians 3:1,2
19. Our Former State 3:3,4
20. How We Are Saved 3:5-7
21. Maintain Good Works 3:8
22. Avoid Foolish Questions 3:9
23. Dealing With Heretics 3:10,11
24. Closing Remarks 3:12-15

Outline from *The Companion Bible*, page 1815

- A. 1:1-4 Epistolary, Salutation, Benediction
- B. 1:5-9 Assemblies, Their Order
- C. 1:10-16 Contentious Cretans, Censured
- D. 2:1-10 The Walk and Works Becoming Believers (Socially), Titus to Be a Pattern of Good Works
- E. 2:11 Reason. The Grace of God Hath Appeared to All
- F. 2:12-14 What We Should Be In Consequence of the Teachings of Grace
- G. 2:15 Charge to Titus to Speak, Rebuke, and Exhort
- G. 3:1,2 Charge to Titus to Put in Mind (Civil Duties)
- F. 3:3 What We Were Before Grace's Teachings
- E. 3:4-7 Reason. The Kindness of God Appeared
- D. 3:8 The Walk and works Becoming Believers. Titus to Exhort to Good Works
- C. 3:9 Contentious Cretans. Condemned
- B. 3:10,11 Assemblies. Their Discipline

TITUS

A. 3:12-15 Epistolary, Salutation, Benediction

TITUS

Booklist on Titus

The following reviews are taken from the following sources:

Biblical Viewpoint, Bob Jones University
\$ *Commenting and Commentaries*, by Charles Spurgeon
% *The Minister's Library*, by Cyril Barber
* *An Introduction to the New Testament*, by D. Edmond Hiebert
^ *Tools for Preaching and Teaching the Bible*, by Stewart Custer
& *New Testament Commentary Survey*, by D. A. Carson
! Ligonier Ministries blog at <http://www.ligonier.org/blog>
@ The Master's Seminary Journal
Unmarked entries are by the author, Dr. John Cereghin

Barclay, William, *The Letters to Timothy, Titus, and Philemon*, 1956, 142 pages. A liberal exposition that is strong in historical background and vocabulary. He thinks a later editor pieced I Timothy together from fragments of Paul's writing (17); contrasts Christianity with Gnosticism (33-35); often gives word studies; lists multiple marriages of the first century (90); defines a saint as someone "in whom Christ lives again" (95); attacks total abstinence from alcoholic beverages (139); defends the immortality of the soul (201); discusses 2:13 without any comment at all about whether Jesus is "our great God" (293-295).

Barrett, Charles Kingsley, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1963, 60 pages. A liberal exposition based on the New English Bible. He holds that the Pastorals have merely fragments of Paul's writings (10); clearly distinguishes the Pastorals from genuine Pauline letters (94); thinks the author borrowed ideas from pagan moral philosophy (115); admits 2:13 calls Jesus "God and Saviour" but holds that this is post-Pauline (138).

& Bassler, Jouette, *The Pastoral Epistles*, Abington New Testament Commentary, 1996. Not noteworthy and with a marked tendency to dance to agendas other than Paul's.

Blaiklock, E.M., *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1972, 48 pages. A study guide. Defends Pauline authorship (13); suggests reading the Bible in 27 different editions (16); holds to inspiration (115).

! Calvin, John, *1 and 2 Timothy and Titus*. I would recommend John Calvin's commentaries on every book of the Bible for which he wrote a commentary. He is a master exegete, and even after 500 years, his works are worth consulting by all serious students of Scripture. Crossway has put together a series of classic commentaries in an affordable paperback format. The work by Calvin on the Pastorals is particularly interesting because of the insight it gives us to the Reformation understanding of church leadership. A must-read.

TITUS

Dibelius, Martin, and Hans Conzelmann, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1972, 61 pages. A Form-critical interpretation. They attack Paul as author (1-10); refer to the genuine Pauline epistles distinct from the Pastorals; deny the idea that Christ was called Savior by Jews (101); deny that Christ is called God in 2:13 (143).

* The work of two liberal German scholars. Contains a mine of technical information for the advanced student in the extensive footnotes and bibliographies. Of great value for the discerning student but barren for those seeking spiritual nurture from these epistles.

Erdman, Charles, *The Pastoral Epistles of Paul*, 1923, 79 pages. Brief exposition. Defends Pauline authorship (11); attacks idea of prayer for the dead (107); thinks that Paul did not regard the Second Coming as imminent (122); teaches inspiration (125); attacks idea of soul sleep (129).

\$ Fairbairn, Patrick, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1874, 196 pages. What a good translation, full defense of the apostolic authorship of the epistles, fruitful comments and profitable dissertations, this volume is as complete a guide to the smaller epistles as one could desire.

% This old, standard treatment shows how pastors may use the Greek text to aid their exposition. A fine work in spite of its age.

* Uses Tischendorf's Greek text.

^ Holds that Christ was a substitutionary ransom for sin (117); stresses the divine inspiration of Scripture (379); concludes with three appendixes on problem passages (405ff).

Very thorough commentary on the Greek text. Defends Pauline authorship (1-19); favors view that Jesus Christ is called "our great God and Saviour" (283); attacks the idea of baptismal regeneration (295); has a special appendix on the treatment of slavery in the New Testament (432).

Fausset, A. R., "Titus" in Volume 6 of *A Commentary Critical, Experimental and Practical*, 1869, 20 pages. A brief conservative exposition. He defends Pauline authorship; argues for plenary inspiration but denies that verbal inspiration means mechanical dictation (511); defends the deity of Christ from 2:13 (520).

& Fee, Gordon D., *The Pastoral Epistles*, Good News Commentary, 1995. One of the better commentaries. Despite a number of points where I find his exegesis unsatisfying, Fee has worked hard at building a more or less believable "life setting" that ties the contents of these three epistles together.

\$ Graham, W., *Titus*, 1860. Dr. Graham endeavors to make criticism intelligible and the results of learning really edifying. We have our doubts as to some of his criticism and he is quite dogmatic enough, but on the whole good.

TITUS

! Guthrie, Donald, *The Pastoral Epistles*, Tyndale New Testament Commentaries, 1990. For those seeking an accessible, introductory level commentary on the Pastoral Epistles, the work by Donald Guthrie in the Tyndale series is probably the best place to begin. Guthrie takes a conservative approach to the books. He addresses all of the objections to Pauline authorship and finds them unconvincing. This is a very helpful verse-by-verse commentary.

% Hiebert, D. Edmond, *Titus and Philemon*, 1957. A careful blending of exegesis with a capable exposition of the text.

Ironside, Harry Allen, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*, 1947, 117 pages. Popular expositions. He defends the inspiration and inerrancy of the Bible (221-229); attacks feminism (218); holds that Christ is our great God and Saviour (269).

& Johnson, Luke Timothy, *The Pastoral Epistles*, New Testament in Context, 1996. The work is both a model of clarity and packed with useful information.

* Johnson, Philip C., "The Epistles to Titus and Philemon" in *Shield Bible Study Series*, 1966. A concise, well-outlined interpretation intended as a study guide.

Kelly, John Norman Davidson, *A Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles*, 1963, 106 pages. A critical, thoughtful commentary. He discusses the problems of authorship very carefully (1-34); gives a rather hesitant defense of Paul's authorship (33-34); calls the fragmentary theory "a tissue of improbabilities" (29); decides that Paul did not call Jesus God (246).

* Author's own translation.

* Kelly, William, *An Exposition of the Epistle of Paul to Titus and of that to Philemon, With Translation of an Amended Text*. A careful exposition by a voluminous Plymouth Brethren scholar of the 19th century.

Kent, Homer A. Jr., *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1958, 211 pages. Conservative exposition from a Brethren perspective. He gives a suggested itinerary of Paul's final travels (15); carefully discusses authorship (24-71), concluding that Paul did write it (69-71); stresses the substitutionary atonement (105); defends inspiration (290); concludes with a very thorough bibliography (315-320).

^ He treats Titus and 2 Timothy much more briefly than 1 Timothy.

! Knight, George W. III, *The Pastoral Epistles*, New International Greek Testament Commentary, 1999. The best contemporary commentary on these books. Knight takes a thoroughly conservative and orthodox approach to the interpretation of the letters, rightly attributing them to Paul. The only drawback is that this commentary is technical and assumes a working knowledge of Greek. For those with such a knowledge, it will prove to be very valuable.

TITUS

@ *Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles*. New International Greek Testament Commentary, 1992. 514 pages. *Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles* is a scholarly critical commentary written by George W. Knight, III, professor of New Testament at Knox Theological Seminary in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. The author begins with a list of sources consulted to acquaint the reader with the abbreviations used, but this also is impressive as to the serious nature of the volume. The style is weighty but lucid, scholarly yet readily accessible to those with a limited knowledge of the original languages. This makes it helpful for the diligent pastor or preacher, a feature this reviewer looks for in a book of this type. The treatment of the text is thorough with a rich display of textual and syntactical research. The author treats the authorship of the Pastoral Epistles extensively, and ends by saying, Our conclusion is that the Pastoral Epistles were indeed written by the apostle Paul to his colleagues. This conclusion is based not only on the clear self-testimony of the letters to Paul as their author, their frequent personal references to Paul, their basic Pauline teaching, and their basic Pauline vocabulary and style, but also on the satisfactory resolution of the perceived or real differences, which in the end point toward rather than away from that authorship (52). He dates the epistles somewhere after Paul's release from the first imprisonment in Rome (Acts 28) and the death of Nero, "from the latter part of the early 60's to the mid-60's" (54) The exposition follows the order of writing of the three: 1 Timothy, Titus, 2 Timothy. There are two excursuses, the former on "the Bishops/Presbyters and Deacons: 3:1-13" and the latter on "Motivation for Appropriate Conduct: 2:1-10." The latter shows that Paul's instructions in Tit 2:1-10 do not arise from cultural appeasements, but from the rule of righteousness prescribed for all believers. This is a timely section in light of current pressures on the church to water down its stand on righteous living by conforming to a changing culture. The treatment of 1 Tim 2:11-15 reinforces the traditional interpretation of the role of women in ministry. The author states, "Here he prohibits women from publicly teaching men, and thus teaching the church" (141), and concludes, "It is noteworthy, however, that Paul does not use 'office' terminology here (bishop/presbyter) but functional terminology (teach/exercise authority). It is thus the activity that he prohibits, not just the office" (142). All in all, this is a commendable commentary, extremely helpful in dealing with the difficult passages in the text. It deserves to be added to any preacher's library as a primary source on the study of the Pastoral Epistles. The Bible student will be satisfied with this investment.

Knox, James, *The Book of Titus*, The Christ-Honoring Commentary Series, 2001, 2004, 191 pages. Word-by-word in some places commentary, based on the King James Bible by a Baptist pastor in DeLand, Florida. Lively and interesting. He has an appendix on election. Recommended, but there are a few areas that are a bit unorthodox, such as his positions on certain aspects of church government.

& Lea, Thomas D., *The Pastoral Epistles*, New American Commentary, 1992. Competent but a bit bland.

Lenski, Richard Charles Henry, *The Interpretation of St. Paul's Epistles to the Colossians, to the Thessalonians, to Timothy, Titus, and to Philemon*, 1966, 209 pages.

TITUS

An exhaustive Lutheran commentary. He defends Paul's authorship (473-484); corrects the KJV (501); discusses the Greek words for prayer (538); disagrees with Calvinists (802); defends the verbal inspiration of the Bible (851-59); argues for baptismal regeneration (946); applies "our great God and Saviour" to Jesus Christ (934-936).

Lock, Walter, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1924, 82 pages. A critical commentary on the Greek text. He defends Pauline authorship; discusses the theology of the Pastorals; evaluates the evidence for Pauline authorship but in the text treats it as Paul's; prefers the translation "All Scripture is inspired by God and therefore useful" (110); thinks that washing refers to baptism (154); argues that "our great God and Saviour" refers to Jesus Christ (144).

* Lock leans to the conservative view but makes no pronouncements on the vexing critical problems. The notes on the Greek text are rather thin.

& Hopelessly outdated, but contains a number of perennially relevant observations.

Moellering, H. Armin, *Concordia Commentary*, 1970, 93 pages. A commentary on the RSV on the Pastorals. He discusses authorship (1-25); argues for Pauline authorship (20,24); criticizes Calvin's doctrine of election (52); attacks the idea of prayer for the dead (136); teaches the divine, plenary inspiration of the Bible (163); recommends the wording of the New English Bible (168, 186); argues that "bishop" and "elder" were interchangeable terms (190); teaches baptismal regeneration (212); holds that Jesus is called the "great God and Saviour" (205).

! Mounce, William D., *Pastoral Epistles*, Word Biblical Commentary, volume 46, 2000. Many will recognize William D. Mounce as the author of the widely used *Basics of Biblical Greek Grammar*. His commentary on the Pastoral Epistles in the Word series is very helpful. Mounce thoroughly discusses all of the important issues raised by Paul (whom he believes wrote these epistles), and when dealing with controversial parts of the letters, he fairly represents those with whom he disagrees. All in all, a very good commentary.

& Mounce's judgments, whether one agrees with them or not, strike the reader as mature and fully considered, making the commentary worth reading (if your sanctification can endure the irritating format of the series).

& Oden, Thomas C., *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1989. A remarkable work. It is up to date, well written and defends Pauline authorship. But it is not a traditional commentary; it organizes the pericopes topically, with the preacher in mind. This makes it harder to follow the flow of the text, but has some advantages for the preacher trying to group some of the material Paul treats.

* Patterson, Paige, *Living in Hope of Eternal Life. An Exposition of the Book of Titus*, 1968. Uses author's own translation. An exegetical verse-by-verse treatment from a conservative viewpoint by a Southern Baptist. Breathes the practical insights of an effective witness for the Lord.

TITUS

Phillips, John, *Exploring the Pastoral Epistles*, 2004. Phillips is always a good read and is useful, especially his outlines.

& Quinn, J. D., *The Pastoral Epistles*, the Anchor Bible, 1990. Full of excellent exegesis, even though he thinks that the Pastoral Epistles "as we have them" probably stem from AD 80-85.

Ruckman, Peter, *The Bible Believer's Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles*. Conservative and generally useful paragraph-by-paragraph expositions based on the King James. Ruckman then goes after several "apostate Fundamentalists" in the appendixes who he believes have abandoned the King James Text. These appendixes detract from the commentary and are not needed here. Is also antagonistic toward Greek scholars, Greek scholarship or anyone who would use Greek in their study of any Bible book (iv). His continual whining about the apostasy of "the scholars" wears us and grates the nerves after a few pages. We wish Ruckman would spend more time on his interpreting and applying the text than telling us what is wrong with other commentators. Strongly defends Pauline authorship (4,5), is dispensational and premillennial.

Scott, E. F., *The Pastoral Epistles*. New York, n.d., 96 pages. The voice of unbelief. He attacks Pauline authorship (51); criticizes the writer's Greek constructions (61,62); portrays Jesus' death as that of a martyr rather than a supernatural Savior (78); denies verbal inspiration (126).

* Uses the Moffatt translation.

% Simpson, Edmund Kidley, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 1954. Aably defends the Pauline authorship, carefully examines the external and internal evidence that bears on the authenticity of these letters, draws on an extensive knowledge of classical literature and expounds the text in a scholarly, satisfying way.

A commentary on the Greek text. He has a special note on prepositions (110-112); concludes with a short bibliography (169-70); attacks Romanism (65); teaches the doctrine of the invisible church (139); argues that the "great God and our Saviour Jesus Christ" denotes one and the same person (108); tends to use untranslated Greek and Latin.

& Has valuable linguistic comments and numerous parallels, but the commentary is stody and fails to grapple with the theological thrusts of these epistles.

Stam, C. R., *The Pastoral Epistles*, 251 pages, 1983. Written from a hyper-dispensational viewpoint. There are some useful and interesting things in the work, but the doctrinal presupposition will mean that Stam will be in error more times that he is not.

\$ Taylor, Thomas, *Commentary on Titus*, 1619, 325 pages. The title page calls Taylor "a famous and most elaborate divine." He was a preacher at Paul's Cross during the

TITUS

reigns of Elizabeth and James I and a voluminous writer. The commentary will well repay the reader.

! Towner, Philip H., *The Letters to Timothy and Titus*, New International Commentary on the New Testament, 2006. Less technical than Knight's, but it is still an imposing work at over 900 pages. Despite its length, it remains accessible to most educated readers. Like Knight, Towner rejects the conclusions of critical scholars who deny Pauline authorship of the Pastoral Epistles.

Van Oosterzee, Dr. "II Timothy and Titus" in *Lange's Commentary on the Holy Scriptures*, 1867, 23 pages. Conservative Lutheran commentary. He defends Pauline authorship (77); teaches the divine inspiration of the Scriptures (109); stresses that "our great God and Saviour" applies to the Lord Jesus Christ (167).

Ward, Ronald A., *Commentary on 1 & 2 Timothy & Titus*, 1974, 153 pages. A careful commentary on the RSV. He discusses authorship, concluding that Paul is the "ultimate author" (9-13); teaches inspiration of the Bible (199-201); concludes with an annotated bibliography (281-284); defends translation "our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ" (261).

& Worth scanning, but not of first choice.

White, Newport, "II Timothy and Titus" in volume 4 of *The Expositor's Greek Testament*, 1951, 50 pages. A critical commentary on the Greek text. He defends Pauline authorship (57); teaches the reality of the Second Coming (179); defends a divine doxology to Christ (183); teaches baptismal regeneration (198); although he defends the deity of Christ, he does not think that Christ is called "our great God and Saviour" (195-196).

Titus Chapter 1

1. Introduction 1:1-4

1:1 Paul, a servant^a of God,^b and an apostle^c of Jesus Christ, according to the faith of God's elect,^d and the acknowledging of the truth^e which is after godliness;^f

1a The emphasis here is on the service of the servant and of the dependence of the servant upon his lord. This service is not bound by the reason of choice by the servant for he must perform his service whether he wishes to or not. He is subject as a servant is to an alien will, that of his owner. It is never used in a disparaging or contemptuous fashion in the New Testament.

1b This is the only place in Paul's writings where he calls himself a servant of God. In other places, he calls himself a servant of Jesus Christ.

1c Paul is both a servant and an apostle. These titles are not contrary to each other. In order to be put into a position of spiritual leadership, one must first know what it means to be a servant. Paul was a servant first and an apostle second. Even the Lord came not to be ministered unto but to minister (Matthew 20:28). What irritates us are these proud and arrogant preachers who expect the world to minister to them simply because they have some sort of spiritual authority. I can think of several Independent Baptist preachers who think they have a license to boss other people around and dominate them spiritually simply because they are a pastor or because someone gave them an honorary doctorate. We can also see this in the denominations, where a "district superintendent" gets a big head when he gets his promotion and starts to throw his weight around as a big-shot. This is especially true in many black Pentacostal churches, where the pastor demands all manner of authority and respect that goes beyond the Biblical standard. The key to successful and godly spiritual leadership is humility and a willingness to do the little jobs. A man is unfit for spiritual leadership who will not empty his own trashcan, will not clean the bathrooms, will not check the mail for the church or who will not help clean up after a church fellowship dinner. Such men are not fit for spiritual leadership. They want the privileges of an apostle yet they do not want the responsibilities of a servant.

When Titus saw the word "apostle" as he opened the scroll, he knew this wasn't just a friendly letter from Paul but was an official memo from his spiritual superior with orders and procedures he needed to follow in his work in Crete.

Titus could not be apostle in the same sense that Paul was but he could be a servant of God in the same way Paul was, all can we all. Apostleship was for a select few. Servantship can be for all.

1d This is not to be understood in a Calvinistic sense, but simply a term used to describe the saved. There is no doubt that all who are saved are part of "God's elect". There is no need here to try to expand this phrase into a full-blown spiritual dissertation on the doctrine of election, something too many Calvinists seem too eager to do.

1e The truth as revealed by God, given to the "Holy Men of Old" and preserved for us in the Scripture. This "truth" is not to be found in human traditions and teachings, nor in the exclusive possessions of certain churches and denominations.

1f A genuine salvation experience will bring an acknowledging of the truth in the context of godliness. A life of godliness and the development of a Christian walk is dependent upon a proper acknowledging of the truth. One cannot walk in a holy manner with wrong doctrine. The two simply are not compatible. Many false teachers and apostates are immoral, as their doctrine does affect their walk.

TITUS

1:2 In hope of eternal life,^a which God, that cannot lie,^b promised^{aorist middle} before the world began;^{c-d-e}

1:3 But hath in due times manifested^{aorist} his word through preaching,^a which is committed^{aorist passive} unto me^b according to the commandment of God our Saviour;

2a We “hope” for eternal life, not because we have no Biblical assurance of it, because we do have such assurance. We “hope” for it because we do not have possession of it yet. Until we do, we “hope” until we receive that which has been promised to us. When we receive and possess that which has been promised to us, hope ends.

2b This speaks of one of the attributes of God. It is wholly alien to the nature of deity to lie. Satan is a liar (John 8:44) and man lies because he has a fallen nature. But it is not within the nature of God to lie. If God lied, then He would cease to be God. He is thus incapable of lying. This truthfulness is a major attribute of God. This inability of God to lie is repeated in Numbers 23:19 where God, through Balaam, told this to Balak. It is also repeated in Hebrews 6:18. The inability of God to lie is set in the context with the promise of eternal life. God elected those to salvation through his foreknowledge (1 Peter 1:2) and that election stands through all time. If you are elect and thus believe (or believe and are thus elect), then you will be saved. God has promised it. This promise is manifested today through preaching. Sinners come to know of their sinful state by hearing the word of God preached. This is how faith to believe unto salvation is generated.

The ESV weakens this as “God who never lies”. That is not the same idea as “God who cannot lie”. The ESV suggests that God simply chooses not to lie, but He could if He chose to. The traditional translations all have the idea that God cannot lie, it is not the idea that He simply chooses not to. It is against the very nature of God to lie, so He cannot. The devil does nothing but God but God cannot lie. If He did, we would sin and violate His own infinite holiness, something that is unthinkable. The ESV makes a serious theological error here.

2c This promise, with its associated hope, is an eternal one in the mind of God, as it was always His intention to grant eternal life to the saved.

2d Obviously Genesis 1 and before. Paul was a Young Earth Creationist who took the Genesis creation account as literal truth and history.

2e “In verses 1-2, we have the past, present and future brought before us:

1. The past- according to the faith of God’s elect- Christ died for our sins.
2. The present- the truth which is after holiness- the daily pursuit of the child of God
3. The future- in hope of eternal life- the fullness of our redemption held forth for our inspiration (James Knox, *The Book of Titus*, pages 25-26).”

3a In the Old Testament it was the prophets to whom this hope was committed (Hebrews 1:1) but now, through New Testament preaching. In the “last days” (Hebrews 1:2), God will speak directly through the Son. But today, He speaks through the Scriptures and God-called men to whom the truth of the Scriptures has been committed. We see here the importance of preaching. Paul says that preaching is the instrument that God has chosen to manifest His word. God did not choose literature, films, records, “rapping”, concerts, art or seminars or anything else to manifest His word, but rather chose preaching. Here is the wisdom of God in conflict with the wisdom of man as man sees preaching to be a very poor vehicle to transmit the truth of God. The unsaved think preaching is foolish (1 Corinthians 1:18) but God has ordained to use the foolishness of preaching (not foolish preaching) to save them that believe (1 Corinthians 1:21). The modern church, relying upon human wisdom in its apostasy, thinks that the only way the world will listen to the gospel message is to abandon preaching in favor of “all night sings” and movies and concerts and seminars. We had better stay with that which God has ordained as the God-

TITUS

1:4 To Titus,^a mine own son^b after the common faith:^c Grace, mercy,^d and peace, from God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ our Saviour.^{e-f}

2. Titus' Ministry on Crete 1:5

**1:5 For this cause left^{aorist} I^a thee in Crete,^b that thou shouldst set in order^{1930-c-}
aorist middle subjunctive the things that are wanting,^{d-} present active participle and ordain^{aorist active subjunctive}
elders^e in every city,^f as I had appointed^{aorist middle} thee^g**

approved method of evangelism. We have no license to “experiment” with other forms of evangelism or worship.

3b As an apostle and a preacher. It is also committed to any God-called preacher.

4a Titus was one of Paul’s “sons in the faith” (like Timothy) who was a close associate of Paul’s. He was the “test case” as to whether Gentile believers had to undergo Jewish circumcision. He was also associated with the church at Corinth. Paul would later send him to Crete and Dalmatia. He is characterized by a solid pastoral ministry (2 Corinthians 8:16,17), a joyful attitude (2 Corinthians 7:13) and tact (2 Corinthians 8:23).

4b Since Paul is writing to man he knew well, there is no good reason for the ESV to go “gender-neutral” and use “child” for “son” here. The other translations all use “natural son”.

4c Titus is called "**mine own son after the common faith**" as Paul had led him to Christ and had worked closely with Paul in his ministry. “Common faith” is the faith shared by all believers, as seen in Jude 3, where it is called "the common salvation". This Jew and this Gentile shared a common faith and a common salvation. This is the true "catholic" (with a little "c") faith, not a “Roman Catholic” faith. I am a “catholic” in that I hold to the universal, orthodox faith believed by all God’s people, which we may call the “fundamentals”.

4d Grace- getting something you don’t deserve
Mercy- not getting something you do deserve
The ESV omits “mercy”.

4e Two-thirds of the trinity is mentioned here. The Holy Spirit is not mentioned here.

4f The ESV has “Christ Jesus”.

5a Emphatic.

5b Titus was sent to the very hard field of Crete, to set up churches and ordain pastors and elders for them. This is a pioneering work, which was more difficult than Timothy’s ministry. We believe Timothy settled into a pastoral charge, probably in Ephesus, while Titus was more involved in a missionary/church planting/church reclamation ministry. Titus would work in Paul’s absence but with his full apostolic authority as Paul’s representative on Crete.

“I left thee in Crete” but we do not know when Paul was on Crete as such a visit was not recorded in Acts. Could it have been after his release from Paul’s first Roman imprisonment, after Acts 28? He did make a lengthy stop on the island at Fair Havens during his journey to Rome in Acts 27:7-9. But as a prisoner, Paul might not have been able to do much ministry in that area at this time.

Although Crete was only 50 miles wide and 270 miles long (3199 square miles), there were upwards to 100 cities on the island, making the need for the planting of many churches a necessity. Cretians are mentioned in 1 Samuel 30:14; Ezekiel 25:16 and Zephaniah 2:5, where they are called

TITUS

“Cherethites”. The name is associated with “Capthor” and “Capthorim” in Deuteronomy 2:23; Jeremiah 47:4 and Amos 9:7. There were Cretians present at the Day of Pentecost in Acts 2:11. It is very possible than some who heard Peter preach on the Day of Pentecost brought the gospel to the island and established the first churches. Paul spent some time in and near the island in Acts 27:7-9. We think most of Paul’s work here, if he did much at all, would have taken place after his release from his first Roman imprisonment, after Acts 28.

5c **"set in order"** Strong's #1930 επιδιορθοω epidiorthoô; from επι epi (Strong's #1909) besides, above, and "diorthoô" to correct; to set in order. This is a medical term used of setting broken limbs or straightening crooked ones. Used only here.

5d Paul had unfinished business on Crete, being unable to finish setting the leadership in these churches before he had to leave. He gives that task to Titus, a trusted co-worker. Titus must have been a good man and a reliable man, else Paul would not have entrusted him with this.

"Wanting" is an interesting word to use, showing that the Lord **wanted** some things to be done on Crete and **wanted** the churches on Crete to be set up in certain ways and to be doing certain things. The fact that they were not is what let Paul to send Titus there to deal with these things that were **"wanting"**.

5e Titus would have to see to it that elders (pastors and other spiritual leaders) were appointed in each city to oversee each local church planted. These elders would engage in the following ministries:

1. Preaching the Gospel
2. Administering the ordinances of baptism and the Lord's Supper
3. Watching over the flock in the Lord
4. Putting the laws of Christ's house in execution, and keep up a strict discipline in it.

These elders may have been pastors but not necessarily. An elder in the church is any man with some sort of leadership position and authority in a local church. A man could be an elder without being a pastor. The "Baptist distinctives" (which are unofficial, since they are not written down anywhere nor are they even recognized by all Baptists) may only recognize two offices (pastor and deacon) but many Baptists (mainly Calvinistic ones, but not exclusively) do have elders or call their pastors elders. We see no sin in having elders in the church who provide leadership and support for the pastor, as long as the church is pastor-led. A church may have a youth pastor, treasurer, headmaster of the Christian school and other men in leadership positions in the church. These men and other older, mature, experienced men, would qualify to be elders. We do not support Presbyterian church government, which teaches church government by committee, or ruling elders. But a church ought to have elders who can assist the pastor and help guide the church in its activity, practice and doctrine.

The apostles set up the initial leadership in the churches that were established, since there would be no mature leadership in place as of yet. As the churches matured, we assume that the churches began to assume more this responsibility themselves. This is why we do not practice this “apostolic appointing” of elders in churches today. There were few, if any, organized churches on Crete so many of these congregations had to be organized from scratch. There was little, if any, spiritual leadership on that island among the Christians. Until native leadership was developed, the apostles would have to establish these churches. We still do this on the mission field and in church planting, where the church planter will establish the church and get it set up by training and developing native and local leadership. When that is finished, the church planter withdraws and allows the church to manage its own affairs as an independent congregation.

It is interesting to note that Paul never gave such a command or a charge to Timothy. Certainly Timothy also was laboring in difficult areas with weak or young churches, yet we have no record of Paul giving Timothy the same charge he gave to Titus. The situation on Crete may have been unique enough that Titus received the charge from Paul to deal with this situation. There was nowhere in Timothy’s geographic area of ministry where it would have been necessary for Paul to confer similar authority or a similar charge to him. Titus’ charge may have been situational, and not a universal charge placed upon all the churches to await apostolic authority to appoint leadership for them. There were many churches and few apostles and apostolic “delegates” to undertake such a ministry. If God was involved in planting

TITUS

3. Qualifications For Elders/Pastors 1:6

1:6^a If any be ^{present} blameless,^{410-b} the husband of one wife,^c having ^{present active participle} faithful children^d not accused of riot^{506-e} or unruly.^f

a church, He would have, by necessity, raised up an equipped such leadership by His instrumentalities, especially in the post-apostolic era, where there were no more apostles. Who today would have apostolic authority to establish elders in churches that they were not members of? After all, it is God who gives gifted men to the church, not man or the apostles! No doubt part of Paul's charge to Titus in appointing elders was due to the very poor and disorganized state of the churches on Crete, a situation that may have been unique to Crete. We have no record of who appointed elders in the churches that Paul did not start, as in Rome or Colossae. Did they do it themselves, under the guidance of the Holy Spirit?

The idea of an "elder" generally includes the idea of an older man, but he need not be an "aged" man to qualify, simply one who has wisdom, experience and dignity. We wonder about these Mormon "elders" who are 21 years old. I've met some, who are on their two-year mission service being referred to as "elders" although they have never pastored or have fulfilled any place of spiritual leadership. There is a definite problem in that, among other things in the Mormon system.

5f The ideal is one church in each city, not 600 Baptist churches in a city (like in Memphis or Nashville, plus who knows how many other kinds of churches). that split three ways four times. We know in a practical sense, also taking into account human nature, that this kind of situation is impossible here on earth. This would also not be practical in larger cities. Philippi may have had multiple churches (notice the plural "bishops and deacons" in Philippians 1:1). Can you imagine one church to serve all of New York City? We have far too many local churches today and it would be a good thing of some merged with others. No doubt there are some local congregations where nothing would be lost if they ceased operations! I am convinced we have too many churches in most areas and that most of them could and should be shut down and in so doing, that area would not suffer too much spiritual damage. Plus, the other churches (that ought to remain in operation) would benefit from larger congregations.

Homer said there were anywhere from 90-100 cities on Crete, but most must have been small towns or villages.

5g Titus, with the apostolic authority given to him by Paul (since Paul could not be on Crete), would ordain these elders. With divine aid and guidance, he would choose the proper persons for such service, and to direct, assist, and preside at the elections and ordinations of them. He was also to set in order things that were wanting. There were problems in the churches, dealing with false teachers and Judaizers. No doubt there were practical problems as well. Titus would have his hands full in ordaining elders and correcting the problems in these churches. "Here it is highly proper to observe the modesty of Paul who willingly permits another person to complete the work which he had begun. And, indeed, although Titus is greatly inferior to him, he does not refuse to have him for a "corrector," to give the finishing hand to his work. Such ought to be the dispositions of godly teachers; not that every one should labor to make everything bend to his own ambitious views, but that they should strive to assist each other, and that, when any one has labored more successfully, he should be congratulated and not envied by all the rest (Adam Clarke)."

6a I am going to make a distinction between the qualifications between elders and bishops since all bishops/pastors are elders but not all elders are pastors. Paul mentions the qualifications for elders in 1:6 (carried over from 1:5) and then specifically deals with the bishops starting in 1:7. The material in 1:6 will apply to both elders and pastors while material in 1:7 and following will apply only to the pastor.

6b "**blameless**" 1 Timothy 3:2. Strong's #410 ανεγκλητος anegklêtos; from α a (Strong's #1) without and εγκαλεω egkaleō (Strong's #1458), to come forth as an accuser; that cannot be called into account, unreprouvable, unaccused, blameless. The elder/pastor will not be a perfect man for he will have his faults. But there will be no flagrant scandal in his life, nothing that the world can point to as an

TITUS

unchristian vice. Blameless does not mean sinless, for then no man would qualify to be an elder/pastor in the church. This means that there is nothing in his life that would cause a scandal and that would disqualify him from spiritual leadership. He is a steward (1 Corinthians 4:2) and the prime requirement for that is that he is to be faithful in his personal life.

The translations render this in various ways. The Tyndale has "faultless". The Coverdale and Bishops have "blameless" along with the King James. The Geneva has "unreproveable". The ESV has "above reproach".

6c **"the husband of one wife"** 1 Timothy 3:2. This forbids the elder/pastor from being a polygamist. It would also disqualify a converted Jew who had two wives, which was legal under the Law. This verse does not automatically disqualify a divorced man from the pastorate. There are three Biblical grounds for divorce- death (Romans 7:3), desertion (1 Corinthians 7:15) and adultery (Matthew 19:9). If a man has suffered a divorce for any of these reasons then he is not under bondage. Since the marriage has been dissolved, the man is no longer considered to have a wife. The prohibition against multiple wives fits the context (and other passages) better than divorce and remarriage. It does not prohibit single elders/pastors. Timothy and Titus may have been single since their wives are never mentioned. Paul was single throughout his ministry. Robert Murray McCheyne never married. Spurgeon started his pastorate as a bachelor. It is assumed that most men will marry, so the prohibition is in regards to men who are married or who are intending to marry. If there was a prohibition against single elders/pastors, then Paul would undercut what he wrote in 1 Corinthians 7:32-35 about single Christians being able to serve God better than married ones. That would certainly apply to the pastorate. But we realize that many times, a married man with a family can do a better job at pastoring than a single man. I have pastored 3 churches. My first two pastorates was as a single man and my current one is with a family of 4 children. My first two pastorates were probably "failures" but my third pastorate is much more successful. I credit much of that success to my wife and children. Knowing what I know now, after two "single" pastorates, I would not support a call of a single man to a pastorate. A single man would function better in evangelism, on the mission field or on a church staff. And I certainly would not try to pastor again if I became a widower in losing my wife.

This does certainly disqualify women elders/bishops. How can a woman be "the husband of one wife" unless she was a lesbian! And then she certainly would not be blameless. There is no scriptural basis for women in positions of spiritual authority, such as in a pastorate.

6d **"having faithful children"** If a man can't run a few people in his house then how does he expect to run a church? If his own children don't respect him, how will anyone in the church follow him? Both pastors and elders are involved with church government. If his wife and children respect him so little that they rebel against his authority as a father and husband, then how can the Church put any confidence in him? If he is "Herman Milquetoast" at home, then how will he be at church? And if his wife or kids run the home, then will they also run the church- and him?

"(God) has chosen a representative agent in history. This delegated agent is man. Man alone is made in God's image. He is a true, personal reflection of God. This is why he is the agent to whom God has delegated legitimate sovereignty. In calling Adam to serve as judge, God called a perfect man in history to serve as His agent. But that perfect man was immature. This means, among other things, that he was judicially immature. He had only one law that he had been given in order to serve as a restraint against him. That law was that he could not eat from a particular tree. God set a legal boundary around that tree. In order to gain maturity, Adam had to learn self-government under God. He needed time in order to mature judicially. He needed obedience to mature judicially. He needed experience to mature judicially. Obedience is a product of self-government under God. Experience to some extent must be based on one's learning the principles of judging others in one's capacity as a judge. Adam was put at the head of a household. He was given authority to exercise judgment in history over others. There can be no development of judicial maturity in history without holding some kind of office. This is why the church requires that elders in the church must be lawful rulers over their families...What about the church? Here, there is biblical evidence that a man must be a successful ruler of his own household before being ordained by a church as a minister (1 Timothy 3:1-11)...The family is a training ground in learning how to govern. There is nothing revolutionary in this observation. The church is to use the family as a surrogate.

4. Qualifications For Pastors 1:7-9

1:7 For a bishop^{1985-a} must^{present} be^{present infinitive} blameless,^{410-b} as the steward^c of God; not selfwilled,^{829-d} not soon angry,^{3711-e} not given to wine,^f no striker,^{4131-g} not given to filthy lucre;^{146-h}

If a man cannot rule well in his family, Paul said, do not make him a leader in the church. The odds are against his success. That this requirement governs ordination to the pastorate is clear to everyone except seminary professors and churches that ordain unmarried seminary graduates. They have substituted term papers for family rule as the screening criteria. This has been disastrous for the church...The reason why Paul specified the family as the screening institution is that family government makes visible a man's skills of self-government in the context of a nearly universal hierarchy. There are more heads of families than heads of civil government (Gary North, *Boundaries and Dominion: The Economics of Leviticus*, computer edition)." If your wife went to a judge to get a divorce, she should have no Biblical grounds to obtain one. If she has a Biblical reason, then the house is not well ruled and that man is not eligible for spiritual leadership. Children are children in Scripture until age 20, although this has been lowered to 18 in American society. As long as the children are living at home, they are to be in subjection and submission to their parents. If not, then the potential bishop is in trouble. What they do after they pass the age of majority and leave the house is beyond the direct power of the bishop. Such families with faithful children may have been a distinct minority on an island like Crete so Paul emphasizes this requirement in the light of such a telling need, especially among the Christian population, as their spiritual leaders would need to set an example of godly marriages and obedient children.

6e “**riot**” Strong’s #506 ανυποτακτος anupotaktos; from α a (Strong’s #1) without and ὑποτασσω hupotassō (Strong’s #5293) to subject, sit under in an orderly manner; unsubdued, insubordinate, that is not put under, unruly, disobedient, that cannot be subjected to control.

6f “**riot and unruly**” is also handled in a variety of ways by the translations. The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva have “riot and disobedient”. The Bishops has “riot and untractable.” The ESV uses “debauchery or insubordination”.

7a Strong’s #1985 επισκοπο episkopo; an overseer, a man charged with the duty of seeing that things to be done by others are done rightly, any curator, guardian, superintendent, elder, or overseer of a group of Christians.

7b “**blameless**” 1 Timothy 3:2. Not sinless, for none would qualify. There should be no open scandal in his life that an opponent could get a handle on to exploit.

Strong’s #410 ανεγκλητος anegklêtos; from α a (Strong’s #1) without and εγκαλεω egkaleō (Strong’s #1458), to come forth as an accuser; that cannot be called into to account, unproveable, unaccused, blameless.

The translations render this in various ways. The Tyndale has “faultless”. The Coverdale and Bishops have “blameless” along with the King James. The Geneva has “unproveable”. The ESV has “above reproach”.

7c “**steward**” See 1 Corinthians 4:2. Faithfulness is the prime requirement for spiritual leadership.

7d “**selfwilled**” Strong’s #829 αυθαδεις authades; from αυτος autos (Strong’s #846) himself and ἡδομαι hêdomai, to please; self-pleasing, arrogant, self-willed. Pastors cannot be stubborn and bull headed when dealing with the saints and the operation of the church. He is occasionally wrong! If his staff or people have a better idea or point up a flaw in his program, then he is to have enough grace to listen to them instead of puffing up and blowing hot and cold about "pastoral authority" and "submission to your pastor." "To be self-willed is to be stubborn, surly, disregarding of others, arrogant, needing to have your own way in everything (D. A. Waite, *Bible For Today*, April 7, 1978, page 1)." Your will reigns supreme, even at the

TITUS

expense of the brethren, of even the Holy Spirit Himself. There will be many times where a pastor or someone in spiritual leadership will not get his way and will have to learn to deal with it. This shows that a pastor is not a dictator in the local church, where his word is law and everyone must obey him- or else. He can be "thwarted" by the congregation when circumstances allow- for better or worse.

The Tyndale and Bishops use "stubborn". Coverdale has "willful". The Geneva uses "forward". The ESV has "arrogant".

7e "**not soon angry**" Strong's #3711 οργιλος orgilos, not soon angry. Used only here, rare in the Septuagint and in Classical Greek. Patience and a good spirit are also necessary for spiritual leadership. Pastors who blow up at every little thing are not fit for spiritual leadership. The pastor must not be the type of man who "flies off the handle" at every little thing. He may very well get angry (if he has good cause) but not at the "drop of a hat". A man who has trouble with his anger may also be suffering from an unhealthy level of pride and certainly has trouble with his maturity and self-control.

7f "**not given to wine**" 1 Timothy 3:3. He must set the proper example in this area of moderation and self-control, including wine. The reason behind this prohibition is that the elder/bishop has ruling authority in the church and his head must be clear at all times in order to exercise his authority and judgment when he needs to. He would be unable to rule well if he were drunk. To avoid such situations, the pastor is to avoid alcoholic wine all together. Kenneth Wuest has it "one who sits long at the wine" (page 56). He must not be a "tavern sitter" (Matthew Poole 3:779).

The drinking of wine was much more widespread in Paul's day than today. In his day, there was not a wide variety of beverages of which to drink. It was water (which was not always clean) or wines. Today, we have a very wide selection of beverages (tea, coffee, hot cocoa, soda, non-alcoholic beer, non-alcoholic grape juice...) so the drinking of wine ought not to be as much of a problem for us as it would have been in this day.

"With temperance as a rule, wines were an acceptable part of the diet. Distilled liquors are a modern invention. Wines were a part of the legitimate offerings to God (Numbers 15:5,7,10). The use of wines, being governed by God, and governed by His law of temperance, was on the whole temperate. To this day, alcoholism is rare among the Jews. The New Testament warnings against intemperance are many (Ephesians 5:18; 1 Timothy 3:3,8; Titus 1:7; 2:3; 1 Peter 4:3, etc.) (R.J. Rushdoony, *Institutes of Biblical Law*, 300)."

There is a difference between wine and strong drink. Wine is from the grape, wine that has undergone fermentation and is thus alcoholic. Strong drink includes other forms of alcoholic beverages, what we today would refer to as distilled spirits, like beer or whiskey. The Bible places a distinction between them. Wine was not forbidden, only intoxication. Strong drink was never recommended or allowed, unless you were ready to perish. We summarize then that the moderate use of wine is not condemned. There are two prohibitions for wine:

1. For Old Testament priests and for those in positions of authority. But here is where the prohibition for Christians enters in! The Old Testament priest was forbidden wine as he ministered (Leviticus 10:9; Ezekiel 44:21). Every Christian is a priest (1 Peter 2:5,9) who is always "on duty". Can we then afford to drink wine? We may have the liberty to do so, but should we, in the light of our spiritual priesthood?
2. For drunkenness.

The fact that some limited use of wine is allowed is seen in 3:8 under the deacons as well as in Titus 2:3, where the aged women are told to not be given to "much wine." There is no command for total prohibition to the deacon or the aged women, just to those in positions of authority and leadership. Now I just know someone is going to say that I am leaving the door open for the use of alcoholic wine. I am for abstinence but the Bible does allow its use in some circumstances. I am not advocating the use of alcoholic wine and I never would but I will not condemn its use as long as drunkenness does not result. I am against drunkenness and the production and sale of intoxicating liquor like beer and whiskey. I see the damage these things do. My father drinks and I am personally aware of the hell that liquor and drunkenness cause. Any man who would accuse me of being soft on this issue is a slanderer.

TITUS

1:8^a But a lover of hospitality,^b a lover of good men,^c sober,^{3524-d} just,^{1342-e} holy,^{3741-f} temperate;^{1468_g-h}

The ESV has drunkard here but that may be too strong. The prohibition is “given to wine”. It may involve drunkenness but a man can be “given to wine” and not be a drunkard. The idea is that is a requirement just to leave it alone.

7g “**no striker**” 1 Timothy 3:3. Strong's #4131 *πλεκτες* *plektes*; bruiser, ready for a blow, a pugnacious, contentious, quarrelsome person. He must not be a troublemaker who is always looking for a fight. The servant of the Lord must be gentle and not strive (2 Timothy 2:24). You have to wonder about a man who is always in a fistfight. His pride and ego are so huge that he must answer a wrong and cannot cover a transgression. This man is a poor example of Biblical leadership. The Tyndale and Coverdale have this as a “fighter”. The ESV gives the idea of a violent person.

We would also apply this to modern-day union ‘strickers’ who walk out on their jobs if they think they are not getting paid enough or if they don’t like their working conditions. Pastors are not to do this. It is true that most pastors are not paid what they are worth (for a variety of reasons) and the working conditions and the hours are not always ideal, but we are not to “strike” God or the church for more money or for better conditions or for more appreciation or recognition. We are to go on and keep at our responsibilities regardless of the conditions or the compensation.

7h “**not given to filthy lucre**” 1 Timothy 3:3. Strong's #146 *αισχηροκερδες* *aischêrokerdes*; from *αισχηρος* *aischêros* (Strong's #150) indecent, dishonorable and *κερδος* *kerdos* (Strong's #2771) gain; sordid, eager for base gain, greedy for money, a person who is eager to gain even if such gain degrades his moral character. If the pastor is in it for the money, get rid of him! He will not hesitate to “shear the sheep” whenever he needs to! He is the hireling of John 10 who cares nothing for the welfare of the sheep but rather concerns himself only with lining his nest. This is the sort of bird who will also fly off to the larger church, salary and parsonage the minute one is offered to him. The preacher needs money to live (like anyone else) but must not live for the money. This is the kind of man who would never take a struggling mission church, or a church too small to support him. He demands a full salary, several weeks of vacation, a new car every two years and so on. To him, the ministry is a profession, a career, instead of a calling and a ministry.

It is not surprising that this verse is attacked! M. R. Vincent attacks it (4:230) as does the Nestle text. The reasons are obvious- someone is greedy after filthy lucre and mammon and they did not appreciate the rebuke! It’s “funny” how critical texts and modern versions always seem to “revise” verses that condemn the lust for money and material gain.

“**Lucre**” is from the Latin “*lucrum*”, meaning “gain”. Lucre is illicit, dishonorable or unlawful gain or advantage. It is commonly applied to gain of money (Laurence Vance, *Archaic Words and the Authorized Version*, page 216).

The ESV has “greedy for gain” but does not attach any “filthiness” to it as do the traditional translations. Greed for gain and gold is morally filthy and will defile the preacher faster than anything.

What the prosperity gospel heretics do with such a verse is easy to imagine- either change it by use of a modern version or simply ignore it! But it cannot be easily explained away.

8a The five vices of 1:7 are followed by seven virtues in 1:8,9.

8b “**lover of hospitality**” 1 Timothy 3:2 is similar where he is to be “given to hospitality”. Christians were often banished and persecuted and rendered homeless. In the case of traveling preachers and teachers, ministering from church to church, these servants of God were to be received and cared for by the bishop. In the early centuries of the church, the local churches had no buildings, as they met in private homes. The bishop should be glad to thus open his home for this purpose. And he must love to entertain the brethren in his home, as he should not do it out of a sense of mere duty.

TITUS

"Hospitality" is related to our words "hospital" and "hospice" so the word does not simply refer to entertainment but to caring for the body and soul of someone, taking a deep and personal interest in someone's needs.

"In those days, outside of Palestine inns were usually houses of prostitution as well and hence no place for Christians to stay. As a result, the duty of caring for traveling Christians was an important one (R. J. Rushdoony, *Institutes of Biblical Law*, 772)."

The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva all use an archaic word "herberous". The ESV drops the "lover" of hospitality. It ought to be retained. Blessed is the preacher who sees being hospitable as a delight rather than a duty.

8c "**a lover of good men**" Personal and practical separation from bad men and apostates is one thing but he must also separate himself unto good men with whom he can fellowship with and benefit from. A man who loves a good God and who is good himself will also love good men. Birds of a feather indeed flock together. People will be attracted to others who are like him- a thief to a thief, a prayer warrior to a prayer warrior. You can a lot about a man by looking at his friends. He must be a lover of that which is good in the best men. He then picks out the best men he can find spiritually to be his friends.

A "lover of good men" is not going to love the men whom the world is going to hold up. The world says to "love" Michael Jackson, Michael Jordan, John Lennon, Rock Hudson, Martin Luther King, Jesse Jackson, Bill Clinton, Eddie Murphy, Barack Obama and the like. But these are not good men for they do not (or did not) love God. A good man will not love bad men and bad men will not love good men. A safe rule of thumb would be anyone promoted by the media and *People Magazine* or the *New York Times* is not a "good" man that a "good man" would love. Rather, the "good men" that a true man of God would love would include (but certainly not be limited to) Henry Martyn, Robert Murray McCheyne, David Brainerd, George Whitefield, Edward Griffin, Asahel Nettleton, Edward Payson, John Paton, William Carey, John Newton, William Cowper, Talmadge Spence, Bob Jones Sr., and men of their "kin". The kind of men you love reveals the kind of heart you have. A man with a bad heart will love bad men. A man with a dirty heart will love dirty men. A man with a pure heart will love good men.

"Good men are rare. It is easy to find a gifted man, a great man, or even a gracious man. But a good man is more difficult to find (John Phillips, *Exploring the Pastoral Epistles*, page 239)."

The traditional translations have the idea that this "good man" is a "sober minded man". The ESV has it as a "self-controlled man".

8d "**sober**" 1 Timothy 3:2. Strong's #3524 νηφαλεος nephaleos or nephalios; sober, temperate, abstaining from wine, either entirely or at least from its immoderate use, used of things free from all wine, as vessels, offerings, circumspect, able to control himself. He must be moderate in all he says in does, including eating and drinking. A glutton and a winebibber is a man with no self-control, which reveals a lack of maturity and character which are essential for the pastor.

8e "**just**" Strong's #1342 δικαιος dikaios; right, just, someone who acts comfortably to justice and right without any deficiency or failure. The Greek had the idea of a man who is observant of custom, rule, right. Later it came to mean "rendering to each his due (D. A. Waite, *Bible For Today*, July 14, 1978, page 1)." It means a man who will give you a "fair shake" and a "square deal." He is not a cheat or a fraud in his financial dealings or in his human relations in the church.

8f "**holy**" Strong's #3741 ὁσιος hosios; holy, righteous, unpolluted with wickedness, right as conformed to God and His laws, thus distinguished from "dikaios" (Strong's #1342) righteous, which refers to human laws and duties. Shouldn't this be obvious? If he is a man of God (and if he isn't then he does not qualify for any spiritual leadership) and if God is holy, then the man of God is also to be holy, to be godlike in his thoughts, words and deeds. To be just is according to human law while holiness is sanctioned by divine law. To be just is to be upright before men. To be holy is to be upright before God.

8g "**temperate**" Strong's #1468 εγκρατης egkratês; from εν en (Strong's #1722) in and κρατος kratos (Strong's #2904) power, dominion, strength, government; having power over, being master of, self-control, continence. Used only here. This carries the idea of self-control or personal discipline. This has

TITUS

1:9 Holding fast ^{present middle/passive participle} **the faithful^a word^b as he hath been taught,^c**
that he may be ^{present subjunctive} **able by sound** ^{present active participle} **doctrine^d both to exhort**
^{present middle/passive participle} **and to convince** ^{e -1651-present middle/passive participle} **the gainsayers.** ^{483-f-g}

the idea of holding oneself as one would rein in a horse by means of a bridle (Waite, *Bible For Today*, August 11, 1978, page 1).

8h "**Sober**: in body, using moderation in diet and dress; and in mind, being prudent, modest, and humble, and thinking soberly of himself, and others, as he ought. **Just**; righteous in his dealings with men, giving to everyone their due; upright and sincere in his conversation with the saints; and faithful in his counsel, admonitions, and reproofs. **Holy**; devout towards God, constant in all religious exercises in the closet, family, and church; and living soberly, righteously, and godly in the world. **Temperate**; in eating and drinking; continent from the lusts of the flesh; and even abstaining from those things which might be lawfully used, though inexpedient, for the sake of the weak, the peace of the church, and the glory of God (John Gill)."

9a The Scripture is given a human attribute in being referred to as "faithful". The Scripture is the most faithful thing on earth as it is constant, consistent, always truthful and will always be candid with you in telling you the truth about things and about yourself.

9b You had better figure where this "word" is! In which translation is it? There are only 200 translations to choose from and others come on the market with annoying regularity. Is it in the King James, New King James, ESV, NIV...? You can't pick them all as there are as many as 36,000 changes between the King James and modern English versions. We've never had any problem finding this "faithful word" in the King James Bible preserved for us in the English language. Other languages have it as well.

9c They must be totally loyal to the Scripture and protect it from all who would destroy it, downplay it, belittle it, revise it or pervert it. There are many of these sort of men around who would sell their own grandmother (or God's Book) down the river for a dollar. These are the gainsayers.

The pre-King James translations don't have the idea of this word "having been taught" although the ESV does.

9d The elder must not only know where to find "the faithful word" but he must also know what constitutes "sound doctrine". How can he teach it if he does not know what it is? He must be a teachable man and a man who is a diligent student of the Scripture.

9e "**convince**" Strong's #1651 *ελεγχω* *elegchō*; to convict, to refute, to confute, generally with a suggestion of shame of the person convicted, to expose, to find fault with, correct, to reprehend severely, to chide, to admonish, to reprove, to call to account, to show one his fault, to demand an explanation. In Classical Greek, it meant to shame or disgrace.

9f "**gainsayers**" Strong's #483 *αντιλεγω* *antilegō*; from *αντι* *anti* (Strong's #473) against and *λεγω* *legō* (Strong's #3004), to speak; to dispute, refuse, answer again, contradict, deny, speak against, to declare oneself against him, to refuse to have anything you do with him. This word is a combination of the Old English "gegn" meaning "against" and "say". To gainsay is to speak against, contradict, oppose or hinder (Laurence Vance, *Archaic Words and the Authorized Version*, page 159).

9g Titus is to go head-to-head with these gainsayers, to exhort and convince them of their error and sin. Now how is he to do that unless he has the "faithful word?" The only way errorists can be successfully confronted and defeated by a faithful application of the Scriptures against them. Human philosophy or clever rhetoric will not be enough. These men are deceiving the sheep so they must be confronted and rebuked so that the sheep may be warned and protected. A man who holds fast the faithful word of God is not a man who corrects it or corrupts it, as promoters of modern versions do.

TITUS

Comparison of Leadership Qualifications Between 1 Timothy 3 and Titus 1:

Requirement	1 Timothy 3	Titus 1
Blameless	2	6,7
Husband of One Wife	2	6
Vigilant	2	
Sober	2	8
Of Good Behavior	2	
Given to Hospitality	2	8 (lover of hospitality)
Apt to Teach	2	
Not Given to Wine	3	7
No Striker	3	7
Not Greedy of Filthy Lucre	3	7
Patient	3	
Not a Brawler	3	
Not Covetous	3	
Ruling His Own House Well	4	
Children in Subjection	4	
Not a Novice	6	
Having Faithful Children Not Accused of Riot or Unruly		6
Not Selfwilled		7
Not Soon Angry		7
Lover of Good Men		8
Just		8
Holy		8
Temperate		8
Holding Fast the Faithful Word That He May Teach		9

5. Titus' Congregation 1:10-13

1:10 For there are ^{present} many unruly^{506-a} and vain talkers^{3151-b} and deceivers,^{5423-c} specially they of the circumcision:^d

10a Titus will have a rough congregation, but not very different from the average American town today.

“**unruly**” Strong’s #506 ανυποτακτος anupotaktos; from α a (Strong’s #1) without and ὑποτασσω hypotassô (Strong’s #5293) to subject, sit under in an orderly manner; unsubdued, insubordinate, that is not put under, unruly, disobedient, that cannot be subjected to control. These are men who will not be ruled or who will not conform to any external authorities over them.

The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva all have “disobedient”. The ESV has “insubordinate”. How is that easier to understand than “unruly”?

10b “**vain talkers**” Strong’s #3151 ματαιολογος mataiologos; from ματαιος mataios (Strong’s #3152) vain and λεγω legô (Strong’s #3004) to speak; an idle, senseless or mischievous) talker, a wrangler, one who utters empty senseless things

10c “**deceivers**” Strong’s #5423 φρεναπατης phrenapatês, a mind-deceiver, to deceive by fancies. These people deceive by flattery, by dressing error in beautiful garb, and by flattering their victims to receive their error.

TITUS

1:11 Whose mouths must^{present} be stopped,^{a-present middle/passive participle} who subvert^{396-b-present} whole houses,^c teaching^{present active participle} things which they ought^{present} not, for filthy lucre's sake.^{146-d}

1:12 One of themselves, even a prophet of their own,^a said,^{aorist} The Cretians^b are always liars,^c evil beasts,^d slow bellies.^{692-e-f}

10d The Judaizers were busy on Crete. They were active in both opposing Paul and spreading Jewish fables. Paul had plenty of experience with them from his dealings with the Galatians and he knew how to handle them- head on! Titus must have been especially distasteful to them, for he was an uncircumcised Greek sent by the hated Apostle Paul to undo some of the mess they were causing on the island.

1. Their mouths must be stopped. They must be put to silence by the preaching and teaching of Scripture. That is the only way to shut a false teacher up. He may not hear or heed but his hearers will be impressed that he is nothing but a windbag who was exposed by the Scripture.
2. They subvert whole houses, teaching things which they ought not, for filthy lucre's sake. They are active in subverting entire houses, leading people to hell by the boatload. The longer they are allowed to spread their lies, the more damage will be done that may never be undone. They must be stopped NOW before the situation gets worse. We see their motivation- filthy lucre. False teachers and Judaizers would not be half as zealous in their soul-damning ministry if it didn't pay so well. There are few apostates who are in it solely for the sake of their doctrine. Making converts and then fleecing them is the motivation for apostasy and its promoters.

11a Or muzzled. They must be silenced to keep these errors from spreading, but not by use of the sword. Protestants and Catholics would have looked at this and said "the State must use the sword to punish heretics". This is the way it was done through the Dark Ages and the Reformation and in countries that were unfortunate enough to have state churches. Naturally, Paul has no such idea in mind. The mouths of false teachers are shut by moral suasion, writings, confrontation and preaching, not by having them put to death or thrown in jail.

11b "**subvert**" Strong's #396 ανατρεπω anatrepeō; from ανα ana (Strong's #303) again or used as an emphatic and τρεπω trepeō, to turn; to subvert, overturn. They infiltrate and undermine the spiritual foundation of these houses, usually by means of radio, television, literature and the internet.

11c The spiritual state of entire families was in danger due to these false teachers. It is the job of the pastor to watch over these houses/families, to protect them from the false teachers.

11d Strong's #146 αισχροκερδης aischrokerdēs; from αισχιρος aischēros (Strong's #150) indecent, dishonorable and κερδος kerdos (Strong's #2771) gain; sordid, eager for base gain, greedy for money, a person who is eager to gain even if such gain degrades his moral character. Their motivation is money. Error wouldn't be so popular if it wasn't so profitable.

"Filthy lucre" is mentioned 4 times in the pastorals, 1 Timothy 3:3,8; 6:5 and here. The prohibition against it is applied to both pastor/elder and the deacon.

The ESV has "shameful gain", again not associating "filthy" with their lust for gain.

12a "This was Epimenides, in whose poems stand the words here cited; Paul rightly calls him "one of themselves", since he was a Cretian by birth, of the city of Gnosus; it is reported of him, that being sent by his father to his sheep in the field, he by the way, at noon, turned aside into a cave, and slept fifty seven years (hence we get our story of Rip Van Winkle!). He is called a prophet, for Epimenides was thought to be inspired by the gods. He is called by Apuleius a famous fortuneteller; and is said by Laertius to be very skilful in divination, and to have foretold many things which came to pass (John Gill).

Livy and Plutarch also have little good to say about the Cretians.

TITUS

"One of themselves, even a prophet of their own" "This was Epimenides, who was born at Gnossus, in Crete, and was reckoned by many the seventh wise man of Greece, instead of Periander, to whom that honor was by them denied. Many fabulous things are related of this poet, which are not proper to be noticed here. He died about 538 years before the Christian era. When St. Paul calls him a prophet of their own, he only intimates that he was, by the Cretans, reputed a prophet. And, according to Plutarch, (in Solone,) the Cretans paid him divine honors after his death. Diogenes Laertius mentions some of his prophecies: beholding the fort of Munichia, which guarded the port of Athens, he cried out: O ignorant men! if they but knew what slaughters this fort shall occasion, they would pull it down with their teeth! This prophecy was fulfilled several years after, when the king, Antipater, put a garrison in this very fort, to keep the Athenians in subjection. See Diog. Laert., lib. i. p. 73. Plato, Deuteronomy Legibus, lib. ii., says that, on the Athenians expressing great fear of the Persians, Epimenides encouraged them by saying that they should not come before ten years, and that they should return after having suffered great disasters. This prediction was supposed to have been fulfilled in the defeat of the Persians in the battles of Salamis and Marathon. He predicted to the Lacedemonians and Cretans the captivity to which they should one day be reduced by the Arcadians. This took place under Euricrates, king of Crete, and Archidamus, king of Lacedemon; vide Diog. Laert., lib. i. p. 74, edit. Meibom. It was in consequence of these prophecies, whether true or false, that his countrymen esteemed him a prophet; that he was termed ανηρ αγειου, a divine man, by Plato; and that Cicero, Deuteronomy Divin., lib. i., says he was futura praesciens, et vaticinans per furorem: He knew future events, and prophesied under a divine influence. These things are sufficient to justify the epithet of prophet, given him here by St. Paul. It may also be remarked that vates and poeta, prophet and poet, were synonymous terms among the Romans (Adam Clarke)."

"The Cretans were classed with the Cappadocians and Cilicians (all beginning in the Greek with a "K" or kappa) as the most evil and corrupt in the Greek world (*The Annotated Bible*)."

12b The Cretians would be no friend to the gospel and would do what they could to oppose the spread of it. Many of the Cretians were unruly and vain talkers and deceivers. They could not be controlled and would not submit to discipline. They were windbags, especially of "religious topics." Men can talk long and loud about that which they know nothing of. They were deceivers. The Cretians had their fair share of false teachers and were guilty of spreading some of it themselves.

12c Lying was a governing vice among them. They were not only guilty of it in some particular instances, but always; not only for saying that Jupiter's sepulchre was with them, when it was the sepulchre of Minos his son, which they had fraudulently obliterated. Hence, with the Grecians, to "cretize", or speak as a Cretian, is proverbially used for lying

12d Cretians were well known for their cruelty. Cretians rejoiced in the vile mysteries of the cult of Dionysus (Bacchus). Wine was one of the island's chief exports and Dionysus was the god of wine.

"Crete was a *country without wild beasts*. Epimenides' sarcasm was that its human inhabitants supplied the place of wild beasts (Jamieson, Fausset and Brown commentary)."

12e "**slow bellies**" Strong's #692 αργος argos; from α a (Strong's #1) without and εργον ergon (Strong's #2041); free from labor, at leisure, lazy, shunning the labor which one ought to perform, inactive, unemployed, useless, a man who is as it were all stomach, being devoted to the belly. A very graphic term! The Cretians were intemperate and were famous for their gluttony and drunkenness. I can think of no better example of a "slow belly" than the welfare cheat who is active only at the time of the month when the check arrives. He is perfectly healthy but just can't seem to drag himself out of bed to find work. Why work when welfare pays so well? And what shall we say of people on "disability" who still are healthy enough to mow their lawns every day? Maybe they can't work a shovel but they could sit at a desk and work. They have nothing else to do than sit around all day and eat.

The ESV has "lazy gluttons" but "slow bellies" is so much more picturesque!

12f You talk about "hate speech!" Can you imagine Paul (or any modern preacher) saying this about some ethnic or national group? He'd be hauled into court for hate speech and the news media would

TITUS

1:13 This witness is^{present} true.^a Wherefore rebuke^{1651-b- present imperative} them sharply, that they may be sound^{present subjunctive} in the faith;

6. Criticism of the Judaizers 1:14-16

1:14^a Not giving heed^{present active participle} to Jewish fables, and commandments of men, that turn^{present middle participle} from the truth.

1:15 Unto the pure all things are pure:^a but unto them that are defiled^{perfect passive} and unbelieving is nothing pure; but even their mind and conscience is defiled.^{perfect passive}

crucify him! But since Paul affirms this was true in 1:13, it's truth and not hate. Certain nationalities and ethnic groups seem to have "master sins" that need to be addressed by the preacher sent to them.

13a All of this witness of the Cretians is true, therefore they are to be sharply and pointedly rebuked for these sins. "What Epimenides said of them nearly 600 years before continued still to be true. Their original character had undergone no moral change (Adam Clarke)." They were to be severely reprimanded for their sin so that they might be sound in the faith. No sinner will give up his lifestyle of sin unless he is convinced that it is sin and that is not usually done without being confronted by a preacher and the Holy Spirit about it. No Christian will repent of his sin unless confronted in the same manner. You cannot dent granite with a toothbrush. If you wish to recover backsliders and the disobedient, a sharp rebuke will often be necessary, The more severe the sin, the stronger the confrontation that will be necessary. Christians do need to be rebuked like this occasionally! Cretians are bad enough, but what about professing "Cretians" in the Sunday School and in the choir? They are there and you had better sniff them out before they subvert not just a few houses but your whole church. Professing Christians are often just as bad as unsaved Cretians, so they must be treated in the same manner as an unsaved man. If you are a professing Christian yet insist on living and acting like an unsaved man, then don't complain when your pastor treats you like one and rebukes you like one. You asked for it.

13b "**rebuke**" Strong's #1651 ἐλεγχο elegchô; admonish, convict, convince, tell a fault, rebuke, generally with a suggestion of shame of the person convicted, to reprehend severely, to chide, to admonish, to reprove, to call to account, to show one his fault, to demand an explanation. In Classical Greek, it meant to shame or disgrace.

14a Paul expands more of his criticisms of the Judaizers, to let Titus know that there can be no compromise with them at all, lest the Gospel be defiled. Judaizers specialize not in preaching the gospel or spreading the truth of God but rather in propagating Jewish fables and the commandments of men, that turn from the truth (1:14). For a sample of Jewish fables, check out a copy of a Talmud, Kabbalah or any commentaries on these works. The commandments of men would include the "work your way to heaven" plan of salvation of the liberal. Man comes up with his own plan of salvation that is heavy on works and ritual that is more dogmatic and legalistic than anything the Bible would command. Roman Catholicism would be a good example of this.

15a Nothing is pure to those with defiled minds and consciences. They are offended at everything and have absolutely no grace about them. Cross their legalistic regulations or dare disagree with them and they will curse you to hell faster than a rescue mission bum. They have never read Romans 14 and do not hold to the doctrine of soul liberty, even if they are professing Baptists. But what does this mean? The best interpretation would be that the good conscience of a man about to do something sanctifies that action. Now this does not give a license to sin for it is impossible to knowingly and deliberately sin and

TITUS

1:16 They profess ^{present} **that they know** ^{perfect active infinitive} **God; but in works they deny**
^{present middle subjunctive} **him,** ^a **being** ^{present participle} **abominable,** ^{947-b} **and disobedient, and unto**
every good work reprobate. ^{96-c}

have a good, clean and pure conscience about it. This would cover some of these “gray areas” that may not be directly dealt with by Scripture.

“The fifteenth verse has frequently been utterly misused. This does not mean that things which to others are unholy become in themselves pure when done by those of superior mind. It means that the pure delight in purity, even as the unholy delight in that which is impure. With mind and conscience defiled such may make a great religious profession declaring that they know God, but their evil works prove that they are utter strangers to Him. It is against the behavior of such that Titus is called upon to warn the people of God (Harry Ironsides, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*, page 263).”

16a Many of the wickedest men in history professed to know God. Hitler was a Roman Catholic all his life and he looked at his “mission” in life to be the will of God! What about “Bloody” Mary, Idi Amin, Bill Clinton, Stalin (who studied for the Orthodox priesthood in his younger days) and others like them? A mere profession of the knowledge of God is meaningless. Works is the acid test of profession, as is the theme of the book of James. You prove your profession by your works. These Cretian false teachers and Judaizers will make an impressive religious profession to try to get on your good side. But when their works begin to manifest themselves (and they will in time), you can measure just how genuine that profession is.

16b “**abominable** “ Strong's #947 βδελυκτος bdeluktos; detestable, idolatrous. Apostasy and disobedience is never nice and pretty but is always detestable.

16c “**reprobate**” Strong's #96 αδοκιμος adokimos; unapproved, rejected, worthless, castaway, rejected, that which does not prove itself as it should: unfit for, unproved, spurious. Used only here. They themselves are not called reprobate in 1:16, but their works are reprobate in that they are worthless and vain spiritually. Their works do not measure up to their profession. When their works are put to the test, they fail every time and expose them as the religious frauds that they are. “Adulterate; like bad coin, deficient both in the weight and goodness of the metal, and without the proper sterling stamp; and consequently not current. If they did a good work, they did not do it in the spirit in which it should be performed. They had the name of God's people; but they were counterfeit. The prophet said; Reprobate silver shall men call them (Adam Clarke).” But we will not use this term to promote any Calvinistic idea of “reprobation” or “negative election” where God as decreed some to go to hell for no other reason than to fulfill His “sovereign will”. There are no verses that support such a devilish doctrine.

Titus Chapter 2

7. Speaking Sound Doctrine 2:1

2:1 But speak ^{present imperative} **thou the things which become** ^{present} **sound** ^{a-present active participle} **doctrine.**^b

8. Commands To Aged Men 2:2

2:2^a That the aged men^b be ^{present infinitive} **sober,** ^{c-3524} **grave,** ^{d-4586} **temperate,** ^{e-4998} **sound** ^{present active participle} **in faith,^f in charity,^g in patience.^h**

1a The pre-King James translations all have ‘wholesome’. The ESV reads as the King James, although the ESV unnecessarily complicates the reading of the verse.

1b Titus is to avoid the Jewish fables and the false teachings of the false teachers and dedicate himself to speaking right things, the truth, sound doctrine. It is all you can do to concentrate on the truth. You do not have the luxury of time to waste years on studying error. You must study it so you know what the enemy is saying, but your primary ministry is the proclamation of truth, not necessarily the constant refutation of error. That has its place but it is not first place.

2a Chapter 1 dealt mainly with godliness in the church. Chapter 2 will deal with godliness in the home. Chapter 3 will deal with godliness in the world.

2b Among the heathen, older men often gave themselves up to drunkenness and gluttony. Titus was combat these vices of age with the gospel and stress to the older men their responsibility to be the proper examples in faith, virtue and charity to the younger men and that they were to provide the proper leadership in their areas. You can often discern the vices of a person or of a group of persons by the commands that are directed toward them regarding certain sins and practices.

2c “**sober**” Strong's #3524 *nêphaleos νηφαλεος*; circumspect, temperate, abstaining from wine, either entirely or at least from its immoderate use. The Geneva and Bishops Bible have this as “watchful” or “watching”.

2d “**grave**” Strong's #4586 *semnos σεμνος* ; august, venerable, reverend, honorable, honest. The older men are to be grave in their behavior, speech, and dress, for levity of conversation, frothy language, and airy dress, are very unbecoming to these elder saints.

“Gravity is not only a simple word but a highly descriptive one. One need only to think of the earth’s gravity and the effect thereof and relate the concepts to the gravity produced by God’s word and its effect upon one’s life. Gravity is that which holds a man in place, it keeps him from floating away. The constancy of the law of gravity gives a certain knowledge upon which to base our actions- if I drop this, it will fall, etc. Thus, grave speaks of and pictures one who is well grounded (Colossians 1:23), stable (Ephesians 4:14) and fixed (Psalm 112:7) (James Knox, *The Book of Titus*, page 80).”

2e “**temperate**” Strong's #4998 *sôphrôn σωφρων*; from "sôos σωος, sound and phrên φρην (Strong's #5424) understanding; discreet, sober, temperate, of a sound mind, self-disciplined in one's freedoms, self-restrained in all passions and desires. They are to be temperate in eating and drinking, and in their other physical needs which must be satisfied. A temperate man will not eat too much or too little, oversleep or undersleep, overwork or underwork and so on. The Bishops Bible uses “sober” here.

TITUS

9. Commands to Aged Women 2:3

2:3 The aged women likewise, that they be in behaviour as becometh holiness,^a not false accusers,^{b-1228} not given^{perfect passive participle} to much wine,^c teachers of good things;^d

2f “**sound in faith**” If they have been saved any length of time (as they would be if they were to be appointed as elders), then it is to be expected that they would be sound in the faith. Because of their age and status in the church, they tend to provide leadership to the younger members. Since they are examples by virtue of age and experience, they are expected to be sound in the faith so they can provide this necessary leadership.

2g Only the King James uses “**charity**” here. The other translations all use “love”. The word here is “agapê” which is used for divine love, the highest form of love, love as a verb and not just the mere emotion. The King James usually translates this as “charity” to distinguish it from mere “love”. We find no fault in the King James translation here as it does make the distinction between “love” and “charity” and is quite precise in so doing.

2h “Abraham’s impatience brought Ishmael into the world and with him problems that have lasted to this day (Genesis 16). Impatience cost Saul his kingdom (1 Samuel 13). Young David, on the other hand, having learned patience through suffering, refused to take matters into his own hands and waited for God to give him the kingdom (1 Samuel 14-16) (John Phillips, *Exploring the Pastoral Epistles*, pages 268-269).”

3a For the same reason for the older men. The ESV makes no mention of “**holiness**” here.

“Holiness (see Psalm 29:2; 96:9) is a beautiful thing according to the Psalmist. The “**beauty of holiness**” (see Psalm 110:3) is that it *magnifies* God, acts as an *antidote* to the Adamic nature, *suppresses* sin and thereby saves the sinner from reaping a ‘bountiful crop’, *attracts* sinners who are tired of sin and ‘want something better’, and it *reinforces* the promises of God, showing that such a thing is possible. The things that ‘**become holiness**’ are listed in verses 3 and 4 (Peter Ruckman, *Pastoral Epistles*, page 349).”

3b “**Accusers**” is Strong’s #1228 *diabolos* διαβολος ; prone to slander, slanderous, accusing falsely, applied to a man who, by opposing the cause of God, may be said to act the part of the devil or to side with him. This is a gross sin that women seem to suffer from more than men, although the men (especially preachers!) are not immune to. Gossip is absolutely forbidden. Slander, passing lies and second-hand stories, working the grapevine and character assassination via use of the tongue are prohibited by divine commandment.

3c Or literally a slave to wine. See remarks under 1:7. What is more abominable than seeing a drunk woman, especially a drunk elderly woman? Seeing a drunk man is bad enough, but how much worse for a woman, especially a professing Christian woman!

“**Much wine**” Drunkenness was a besetting sin on Crete. Paul does not seem to be calling for total abstinence, else he would be stronger here. Wine was widely drunk in Bible days as the water was not as clean as it is today, but the alcoholic content of this wine (if it was fermented) was quite low, so it would take a lot of drinking to bring on drunkenness. Moderation and an avoidance of drunkenness is called for here.

The ESV has “slaves to much wine” which is inferior to the King James rendering.

3d The younger women, whom the elder women are to teach, can pick up the bad things on their own, but they will need a godly woman to steer them in the right paths. Women can certainly teach other women in the church, so this does not contradict Paul’s earlier admonitions that women were to keep

10. Commands to Younger Women 2:4,5

2:4 That they may teach the young women^a to be^{present infinitive} sober,^{b-3524- present subjunctive} to love their husbands,^c to love their children,^d

2:5 To be discreet,^{a-4998} chaste,^{b-c-53} keepers at home,^{d-3626} good,^e obedient^{f- 5293.} to their own husbands,^g that the word of God be not blasphemed.^{h-}
i-987- present passive subjunctive

silent in the churches. The young Cretan girls, knowing their backgrounds, would need much instruction in these areas.

The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva Bible has "honest things".

4a The elder women are to be teachers, of good things (and sound doctrine and practice) generally and of the younger women especially. Who better to teach the young women in the church than the older women? And who better to teach a daughter than a mother or grandmother? What the older women are to teacher the younger is listed under 2:4,5, which follows.

"Observe that Titus is not told to instruct the young women personally in regard to their behavior. That might not always be discreet, and might compromise him as a servant of Christ. He is to address himself to the aged women and they are to "train" the younger (Harry Ironside, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*, page 267)."

4b "**sober**" Strong's #3524 *nêphaleos* νηφαλεος; circumspect, temperate, abstaining from wine, either entirely or at least from its immoderate use.

4c How odd to have to command a wife to love her husband! But as we approach the end of the age, the natural affection which should exist in the marriage will become a rarity. This love that a woman is to manifest toward her husband includes her necessary and Biblical submission to her husband. A woman who hates her husband will not submit to him. If she doesn't respect him, she will not submit to him. But if a woman loves her husband with divine love, then she will have no problem submitting to his headship.

4d It is even stranger that a woman must be commanded and taught to lover her children, but the spirit of the end of the age has also violated this natural maternal love. The love of many is waxing cold (Matthew 24:12). We are hearing more and more of mothers abandoning their children in trashcans, abusing them, getting them hooked on drugs and alcohol and even killing them. And what shall we say of abortion? Is this not the ultimate in child abuse? And this situation will get worse as the depravity of the heart of man continues to intensify. This command is needed in a day where some women prefer poodle-dogs (or their careers) to children. Such a command shows that family life among the Cretians left much to be desired, as it usually is among the heathen.

5a "**discreet**" Strong's #4998 *σωφρων* sôphrôn; from "sôos", sound and "phrên" (Strong's #5424) understanding; discreet, sober, temperate, of a sound mind, self-disciplined in one's freedoms, self-restrained in all passions and desires. A meek and quiet spirit is called for. William Kelly, in his commentary on Titus, has this as "right-minded". Nothing is more irritating than a bossy, loud-mouth woman, even if she is a professing "Christian". John R. Rice had their number when he wrote a booklet entitled *Bobbed Hair, Bossy Wives and Women Preachers*.

5b "**chaste**" Strong's #53 *ἄγνος* hagnos; exciting reverence, venerable, sacred, pure, modest, immaculate, clean. Quiet, pure and holy! They are to be such in dress and attitude. Christianity in dress (women not wearing male clothing) is something that is to be taught to the younger women because it is something that is under attack today. Women have forsaken Christian modesty in dress in order to go along with the world or because they have been infected by the world. There is nothing more upsetting

TITUS

than a "Christian" woman in any form of immodest attire. But "chastity" is certainly out of style today, even among Christians.

5c Why are women so insistent on dressing like men? I heard an unsaved fashion designer on Canadian television in 1996 explain that pants on women are popular because it makes them feel "empowered". Women who are rebelling against the headship of their husbands or men in general must find some way to express their rebellion and express their "power". They do so by dressing like the men whose authority they are attempting to usurp. A woman who follows Deuteronomy 22:5 and the Biblical principles of dress is a woman who is under submission to both Christ and her husband. Women who wear bikinis, shorts or halter tops or who expose their belly button or who wear any other kind of immodest attire are saying through their clothes that they fear neither God or man but are rebels against everything God has ordained. It has been well said that the average American woman of today, if she went out on the street, would have been arrested 50 years ago for dressing in an obscene manner. Most American women dress like common prostitutes. Such is condemned by Scripture.

5d **"keepers at home"** Strong's #3626 οἰκουρος οἰκουρος; from οἶκος oikos (Strong's #3624) house and ουρος ouros a keeper; a stay-at-home or keeper at home, domestically inclined, a good housekeeper, caring for the house, working at home. Younger women are to mind their own family affairs, not gadding abroad and inspecting into, and busying themselves about other people's matters. The Jews had the following requirements for these women, according to John Gill: "A woman may go to her father's house to visit him, and to the house of mourning, and to the house of feasting, to return a kindness to her friends, or to her near relations--but it is a reproach to a woman to go out daily; now she is without, now she is in the streets; and a husband ought to restrain his wife from it, and not suffer her to go abroad but about once a month, or twice a month, upon necessity; for there is nothing more beautiful for a woman, than to abide in the corner of her house; for so it is written "the king's daughter is all glorious within (Psalm 45:13)". The tortoise, which carries its house upon its back, and very rarely shows its head, or looks out of it, was, with the ancients, an emblem of a good housewife.

Women are to stay home and administrate the domestic affairs. The men are to go out and earn the living while the wife is to stay home, raise the children and provide for things of the house. Today, families want that extra paycheck so off the wife goes to work. Now if there are no children at home for her to raise or if they are school age and are out of the house all day, then the wife could do something during those hours when she is alone at the house. She could teach at the Christian school or baby-sit or help out at the church or something honorable like that. But the "career woman" who dumps her kids off at some government-sponsored day care center and then feeds her family TV dinners because she was too busy to cook a decent meal is ungodly and this woman is also in rebellion against both God and the natural order of things. Better to cut back on your expenses and ask God to provide for your needs than to send Mom out to work. The kids need her home during the day. She is the Queen of the Home and should concentrate on making it a little bit of heaven on earth. This is her God-given place. A woman can raise the kids and administrate the home better than a man playing "Mr. Mom". God has gifted her with the skills necessary to run the household and she is better suited to it emotionally and psychologically than a man is.

Another reason why women are to stay out of the work force is to free up jobs that men need to support their families. Here is a man who needs work to support his family. He is ready, willing and able to work. But someone's wife has the job that she doesn't need but he does. More men would be employed and more families would be better off financially if women left those jobs and let the heads of other households occupy them so that they may honorably support their families. We have no problem with single women working or older women whose children are all grown or a woman with no children. But a mother must be at home to care for her children. This is her God-ordained place. But the "current distress" of economics and high taxes has forced more and more women out of the home because few families can make it on only one paycheck in this current generation.

5e **"good"** If they are godly, then they should be good. Their walk must match their profession.

TITUS

11. Commands to Young Men 2:6

2:6 Young men likewise exhort ^{present imperative} **to be sober minded.** ^{a-b-4993- present middle/passive participle}

5f “**obedient**” Strong’s #5293 ὑποτασσω hupotassô; from ὑπο hupo (Strong’s #5259), under and τασσω tassô (Strong’s #5021), to order, to arrange under, to subordinate, to put in subjection, to obey, a Greek military term meaning "to arrange [troop divisions] in a military fashion under the command of a leader." In non-military use, it was a voluntary attitude of giving in, cooperating, assuming responsibility, and carrying a burden. These believing women are to be obedient to the headship that God has placed over them in their husbands and their God-ordained role to run the home and administrate domestic affairs.

5g They are to be **obedient to their own husbands**, that the word of God be not blasphemed. To disobey the headship of her husband and to rebel against him is to blaspheme God. We can blaspheme God through rebellion and disobedience, without ever uttering a word of blasphemy. A disobedient Christian woman who refuses to submit to the divinely-ordained headship of her husband is a blasphemer. She would then fall under the same Old Testament penalty for blasphemy- stoning. If the Gospel made women into worse, instead of better, wives, it would have nothing to commend it to the heathen. The Gospel would have no higher morality than heathen philosophies and ethics.

5h “**blasphemed**” Strong’s #987 βλασφημεω blasphemeô; to vilify, to speak impiously, to rail at, to revile. You can blaspheme by actions as well as by words and you can blaspheme and never open your mouth. The ESV used “reviled” instead. The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva all define “blaspheme” with “evil spoken of”. The Bishops reads as the King James.

5i Regarding women:

The World’s View	God’s View
1. Home is a boring drudgery.	1. Home is a haven to come to from the world and is to be a heaven on earth.
2. Homemaking and children are a burden.	2. They are God’s good gifts and children are a sign of God’s blessings.
3. Value material success and self-gratification NOW.	3. Value character and godliness, and invest in the future.
4. Place children in childcare for strangers or the public schools to raise.	4. Parents should teach and fulfill their responsibilities to train their children.
5. Children, homemaking, and marriage get in the way of self-achievement.	5. Raising godly children is one of the ways to fulfill God’s purposes.
6. Demand your rights to fulfillment.	6. Give up your rights and become a servant.
7. Who needs a man?	7. Women ought to marry
8. Men and women are equal	8. The woman is the weaker vessel.
9. A woman needs a career just like a man.	9. Women are happiest in the home.
10. I don’t need children.	10. It is natural for a woman to be a mother.
11. Small families are best.	11. The larger the better.

6a This is similar to the earlier commands to be sober. The young men are to have a sober and mature mind. It includes two main ideas:

1. **Be modest.** Young men tend to get a full of themselves at times and are weighted down with pride. Humility is to be developed in the minds of these young men.

12. Commands For The Preacher 2:7,8

2:7^a In all things shewing ^{present middle participle} **thyself a pattern** ^{b-5179} **of good works:** ^c **in doctrine shewing uncorruptness,** ^{d-90} **gravity,** ^{4587-e} **sincerity,** ^{f-g-861}

2. **Control the passions.** Young men have more trouble keeping their glands under control. Keep yourself under control sexually and don't allow your passions to drive you into a sin that you will be sorry for later.

6b "**sober minded**" Strong's #4993 σοφρονεω sophroneô; to be of sound mind, to be in one's right mind, to be "right-minded", to exercise self-control, to put a moderate estimate upon oneself, to think of oneself soberly, to curb one's passions.

7-8a What of Titus and the other preachers? Show yourself a pattern of good works in:

1. **Doctrine**, in what you believe and teach.
2. **Uncorruptness** in your character and godliness.
3. **Gravity.** Strong's #4587 σεμνοτηι semnotêti; decency, dignity, seriousness. Aristotle defined it as the average of virtue that lies between two extremes. It is the ability not only to perform well one's duties as a citizen but also to adhere to the highest principles and ideals of earth and heaven, and thus drawing respect and approval. "In earlier English it signified "becoming deportment, decency, decorum." The adjective signifies "reverend" or "venerable", exhibiting a dignity which arises from moral elevation and thus invites reverence. In the Septuagint it is used to characterize the name of God (2 Maccabees 8:15); the sabbath (2 Maccabees 6:11); the divine laws (2 Maccabees 6:28); the words of wisdom (Proverbs 8:6) and the words of the pure (Proverbs 15:26). Live and minister so that they will respect you! Respect is never freely given but always must be earned. They may respect your office and ministry but will they respect you personally? Why should they? What reason should anyone respect you?
4. **Sincerity.** Strong's #861 αφθαρσια aphtharsia; incorruption, perpetuity, purity, unending existence, genuineness, immortality, sincerity. This should be obvious as it is natural in the life of any Christian. You ought to be genuine, honest and sincere by simply being born a Christian. Yet how many frauds, cheats, liars and bums do we have in our churches and in the ministry?
5. **Sound speech, that cannot be condemned;** that he that is of the contrary part may be ashamed, having no evil thing to say of you. The speech of the preacher must also be pure, genuine, seasoned with grace, that we cannot be reproached or our Master shamed by what we have said. In other words, be very careful what you say! It may come back to you if you are not careful. Someone will always condemn it, especially if a sermon "rings the bell." But their criticisms are to be groundless. They are to be put in a position where they expose their rebellion and hatred of God by their condemnation of your sound speech.

7b Titus is to be a pattern. He was to show the Cretans (especially the men) how it was done and how to live the Christian life. They were to look at him and see an example of a genuine Christian and Christian minister. The locals would certainly need a strong moral and spiritual example. Titus was to be the mold that other Christians could be shaped in and by. All preachers are to be as Titus was commanded to be. A pattern is also an image that represents something else. How else can we study Christlikeness unless we are able to see a pattern and example of it in the life of another, especially in the life of our pastor and spiritual leadership?

"pattern" Strong's #5179 τυπος typos; a die, a stamp or scar, a shape, a statue, style or resemblance, a sampler, type, a model (for imitation) or instance (for warning):

7c "**good works**" The idea of doing good works figures prominently in Titus (mentioned here, in 2:14; 3:8,14). It is a spiritual fruit that is to be manifested by all Christians as an evidence of their regeneration, obedience and spirituality. Titus is to affirm constantly the need for a practical, visible type of Christianity.

TITUS

2:8 Sound speech,^b that cannot be condemned; that he that is of the contrary part may be ashamed,^a having no evil thing to say^c of you.
aorist passive subjunctive present active participle present middle/passive participle

13. Commands to Servants 2:9,10

2:9^a Exhort servants^b to be obedient^{c-5293-} unto their own masters, and to⁴⁸³ please them well in all things; not answering again;
present infinitive present active participle

2:10^a Not purloining,^{b-3557-} but shewing^{present middle participle} all good fidelity; that they may adorn^{c-2855-} the doctrine of God our Saviour in all things.
b-3557-present middle participle present middle participle c-2855-present subjunctive

Mere verbal profession with no James-type outward manifestation to back it up amounts to nothing. The faithful Christian will maintain good works, not to get saved or to stay saved, but because he is saved.

We continue to be amazed by some who continue to try to find some contention between Paul and James. Of course there is none, for James' emphasis is these "good works" which Paul stresses constantly and told Titus to emphasize. Just because Luther couldn't handle the paradox or understand how Paul and James were complimenting each other is no excuse for you to make the same mistake. Why try to separate friends and why try to reconcile friends?

7d "**uncorruptness**" Strong's #90 *αδιαφθορία* *adiaphthoria*; from *α* a (Strong's #1) without and *διαφθερω* *diaphtheirô* (Strong's #1311) to corrupt; incorruption, freedom from corruptible mixtures or adulterations, soundness. Used only here in the New Testament.

7e Paul was not one to use lightness or levity in his preaching or in his ministry. Souls were dying and the need was too great to act the fool before the people (2 Corinthians 1:17). We think of many modern "youth pastors" who act no more mature than the young people they are supposed to be guiding. Many senior pastors are not "grave" in their preaching or ministry as they stuff their sermons full of jokes and stories. Joel Osteen always starts one of his "sermons" with a joke to get everyone in a good mood as he "preaches" his motivational messages.

7f "**sincerity**" The Geneva and Bishops use "integrity".

"Men will forgive a preacher if he is not eloquent or highly cultured; they will forgive him if he lacks in personal attractiveness, or even in wisdom; but they will never forgive him if he is insincere (Harry Ironside, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*, page 268)."

7g The Tyndale and Coverdale run the last part of verse 7 into verse 8.

8b Sound speech is a natural by-product of sound doctrine (2:1).

8c The ESV has "us" instead of "you".

9-10a Commands for servants and those under the yoke:

1. **They are to be obedient unto their own masters.** They are to honor the servant-master relationship. It is a type of our relationship with our heavenly Master. Most Christian slaves served in pagan households so it was important that they be obedient and productive for the sake of their testimony and witness for Christ.

2. **They are to please their masters well in all things.** It is a good testimony to be a good servant or employee.

3. **They are not to answer again.** Strong's #483 *αντιλεγω* *antilegô*; from *αντι* *anti* (Strong's #473) against and *λεγω* *legô* (Strong's #3004), to speak; to dispute, refuse, answer again,

14. The Lessons of Grace 2:11-13

2:11^a For the grace^{b-c-d-5485} of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared^{aorist passive} to all men,^e

contradict, deny, speak against, to declare oneself against him, to refuse to have anything you do with him. No talking back or smart responses to those who are in authority over you. Do what you are told in the best way you know how, even if you don't understand what you are doing or why. The master or boss-man knows what he is doing. That is why he is the master! If you were so smart, then why are you the servant instead of the master?

4. **They are not to purloin.** Strong's #3557 νοσφιζομαι nosphizomai; embezzle, keep back, to withdraw covertly and appropriate to one's own use. Applied by Greek writers to embezzlement of public treasures. The word comes from the French "purloigner", meaning to prolong, retard or delay. It then came to mean conceal, detain or steal (Laurence Vance, *Archaic Words and the Authorized Version*, page 274). Servants and employees are not to steal off their employers, either in money, material or time! No taking paper clips home from the office. No taking 20 minutes for a 15 minute coffee break or sneaking home 5 minutes early every day. This is also good stewardship and lends to a good testimony.

5. **They are to show all good fidelity;** that they may adorn the doctrine of God our Saviour in all things. It is a good testimony to be a good servant. It shows that you are faithful in a "little" things. In so doing, you adorn the doctrine of God by your faithful life and obedience.

9b The ESV uses "slaves" instead of "servants".

9c "**obedient**" Strong's #5293 ὑποτασσω hypotassô; from ὑπο hypo (Strong's #5259), under and τασσω tassô (Strong's #5021), to order; to subordinate, to obey, a Greek military term meaning "to arrange [troop divisions] in a military fashion under the command of a leader." In non-military use, it was "a voluntary attitude of giving in, cooperating, assuming responsibility, and carrying a burden".

The ESV has "submissive" instead of "obedient".

10b The pre-King James translations all have "pickers" here, obviously an obsolete idea for stealing or being a thief.

10c "**adorn**" Strong's #2885 κοσμεω kosmeô; to put in proper order, decorate, to snuff a wick or trim a lamp, adorn, garnish. We get our English word "cosmetic" from this.

11a The Calvinist John Gill calls Paul a liar here in saying that the grace of God has appeared to all men. Gill disagreed. Consider this quote from Gill: "By which is meant, not the free love and favour of God, which lies in his own heart; for though that is productive of salvation, and is the source and spring of it, and what brings it forth, and is far from encouraging licentiousness, but instructs in real piety, and constrains to obedience to the will of God; yet this does not appear, nor has it been, nor is it made manifest unto all men, but is peculiar to the Lord's own people; nor does it design the grace of God wrought in the hearts of believers; for though salvation is strictly connected with it, and it powerfully influences the lives and conversations of such, who are partakers of it; yet it has not appeared to, nor in all men; all men have not faith, nor hope, nor love, nor any other graces of the spirit..." No! Paul SAID it appeared to all men. Gill, speaking for the Calvinists, said it did not. If Paul had meant to limit the extent of the atonement as Gill insists he does, then Paul would have written in language to clearly demonstrate that. Gill limits the "grace of God" to appearing to all of the elect, not to all men. Who are you going to believe, an uninspired hyper-Calvinist or an inspired apostle? And notice all the philosophical contortions Gill must put himself through to maintain his own theological system at the expense of clear Biblical teaching.

TITUS

11b What is **grace**? It is a good old word, well studied through the ages. It is Strong's #5485 χάρις charis; graciousness, of manner or act. It is unmerited favor. This favor was not bestowed upon Christ's friends but upon His enemies. The classical understanding of the word was just the opposite, as this favor was always bestowed upon friends and not on enemies. But Jesus died for us while we hated Him. Grace is also God not giving me what I deserve (hell) but giving me what I do not deserve (eternal life).

11c Why Grace is So Important

1. **We are saved by grace.** Ephesians 2:8,9 "For by grace are ye saved..."
2. **Grace is the source of our salvation.** Acts 15:11. But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ we shall be saved, even as they. Acts 18:27 And when he was disposed to pass into Achaia, the brethren wrote, exhorting the disciples to receive him: who, when he was come, helped them much which had believed through grace:
3. **Workers are called by grace.** Example- Paul- Galatians 1:15 But when it pleased God, who separated me from my mother's womb, and called me by his grace. Any preacher knows that he is only in the ministry by the grace of God and not through any merit of his own.
4. **Grace is the source of our justification.** Romans 3:24 Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus:
5. **It is the source of our redemption and forgiveness.** Ephesians 1:7 In whom we have redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of sins, according to the riches of his grace;

11d The Activities of Grace- What does it do in our lives?

1. **What Grace Brought-** Salvation 2:11. This is true since we are saved by grace. It is only through the grace of God that there is a plan of salvation to start with. I am a grace preacher and I preach and emphasize the free grace of God in salvation and I don't have to subscribe to a single point of Calvinism to do it.
2. **What Grace Sought-** all men 2:11. The Grace of God has appeared to all men (1 Timothy 2:4). God wants all men saved and to come to a knowledge of the truth. On this basis, no man can ever plead ignorance of the grace of God for it has appeared to all men. Why would the grace of God appear to all men and seek all men out if the Calvinistic doctrine of limited atonement were true? What a waste of grace! Grace sought all men because God desires all men to be saved! Naturally, the idea of limited atonement, where Christ died only for the elect instead of for all men, is a gross and devilish heresy which limits the infinite love of God and the extent of the blood atonement.
3. **What Grace Taught** 2:12. To deny ungodliness. You will be offered ungodliness and worldly lusts in your life. It is up to you to refuse the evil and to cling to the good. This is only possible if you love the things of God more than the things of the world. "Ungodliness" is Strong's #763 ἀσεβεία asebeia; impiety, wickedness. Anything that is sin or is a result of disobedience or that it opposed to the things and the spirit of the gospel. This is acting without reference to God. Grace teaches us:
 1. To deny worldly lusts. Quit desiring the things of the world and of sin that you are not allowed to have as a Christian.
 2. Deny all three categories of worldly lusts:
 1. Lust of the flesh
 2. Lust of the eye
 3. The pride of life
 3. To live soberly.
 4. To live righteously. We cannot understand a Christian who lives unrighteously, who cheats in business, who steals from his employer, who is not truthful to his friends, who does not tithe. All this involves unrighteous living which is to be avoided.
 5. To live godly in this present world. "World" here is not "kosmos", this world system but Strong's #165 αἰὼν aion; an age, the world. We are to live godly in this day, among these people, in this, our generation. To be godly is to be godly, to live as Jesus would if He were still walking physically on the earth.
4. **What Grace Wrought** 2:13:

TITUS

2:12^a Teaching^b present active participle us that, denying^c aorist passive participle ungodliness and worldly lusts,^c we should live^d aorist active subjunctive soberly, righteously, and godly, in this present world;^d

2:13 Looking for^a present middle/passive participle that blessed hope,^a and the glorious appearing^{b-c-2015} of the great^{d-3173} God and our Saviour Jesus Christ;^{e-f}

1. The blessed hope.
 1. For the rapture.
 2. To go without dying and going through the valley of death.
 3. To be delivered from this present evil world.
 4. To receive our glorified bodies, never to sin again.
 5. To be with Christ forever.
2. The right kind of living will produce the blessed hope and where the blessed hope is burning in the heart, it will produce the right kind of living.

11e To all men, not just the elect, but reprobates are also included as there is no good reason to limit the scope of "all" here.

12a The Great Lesson of Grace. What does grace teach us about Christ?

1. **Salvation/Redemption**- That He gave Himself for us to pay for our sins.
2. **Sanctification**- He went to the cross to purify unto Himself a peculiar people who would be zealous of good works. This would be a people that stand out for Christ, that are uniquely His and His alone. Zealous of good works not to get saved but because they are saved and because it is their desire to serve God and to live for Him.

12b The ESV has "training" instead of "teaching".

12c The ESV has "passions" instead of "lusts". Is there any other kind of lust other than worldly ones?

12d Right now, in this age, in your generation. Grace will teach you how to live in your generation and how to confront it with the claims of the gospel. Grace will also give you the necessary discernment about the peculiar and unique sins of your generation to help you to live as you should in it.

13a "**blessed hope and the glorious appearing of the great God and our Savior Jesus Christ**". Here is an example of the Granville Sharp Rule that is used to prove the deity of Christ using Greek syntax. This rule states that when we have two or more nouns in the same case connected by "kai" (and), the first noun having the article and the second noun not having the article, the second noun refers to the same thing as the first and is a further description of it. Thus, the blessed hope is the glorious appearing of the great God and Saviour Jesus Christ. The rule applies to both phrases. We reject any and all accusations that the Authorized Version text somehow downplays the deity of Christ in 2:13. It is wrongly claimed that the grammar in the text somehow separates Christ from being the "great God." These complaints come from hypocrites who do the exact thing they accuse the Authorized Version translators of doing. The Bibles they recommend (NJKV, NIV, NASV, ESV...) all attack the deity of Christ in verses like Matthew 12:6, Luke 2:33 and 23:42, John 3:13, Acts 4:27 and 20:28 and 1 Timothy 3:16. The Authorized Version reading is accurate and maintains the full deity of Christ. It should be obvious to anyone with even half a brain that Jesus is both our "great God" and "Savior." Any controversy or misunderstanding of the verse is unnecessary.

13b The "blessed hope" and the "glorious appearing" are similar but different. We have the glorious appearing of the Lord in the rapture and second coming but that is not all that is contained in the blessed

15. The Redemption of Christ 2:14

2:14 Who gave^{aorist} himself for us,^{a-b} that he might redeem^{aorist middle subjunctive} us from all iniquity,^c and purify^{d-2511- aorist active subjunctive} unto himself a peculiar^{e-4041} people, zealous of good works.^{f-g}

hope. The blessed hope includes this but also involves our glorified body, deliverance from sin, our heavenly mansion, the Millennium and many other things. We thus reject Wuest's criticism of the Authorized Version on page 195 of his *Word Studies in the Pastoral Epistles* when he complains about the Authorized Version separating them into two different things. Wuest's retranslation of the verse clarifies nothing. The ESV also mangles the verse into "the appearing of the glory", thus eliminatig the "glory" from the appearing, the Second Coming.

13c "**appearing**" Strong's #2015 *επιφανεῖα* *epiphaneia*; a manifestation, the advent of Christ, often used of the glorious manifestation of the gods, and especially of their advent to help; in the New Testament the advent of Christ, --not only what has already taken place and by which his presence and power appear in the saving light he has shed upon mankind, but also his illustrious return from heaven to occur in the future.

13d "**great**" Strong's #3173 *μεγας* *meegas*; great, large, particularly of physical magnitude. "This is the only place in the New Testament in which *meegas* is applied to the true God, although it is a constant predicate of heathen gods and goddesses, e.g., Acts 19:28 (*Expositors Greek New Testament* 4:196)."

13e This is one of the clearest expressions of the deity of Christ in the Bible.

13f Would an amillennialist or a postmillennialist, who is not looking for the any-moment return of Christ in either the rapture of the second coming be said to have this mind-set? A premillennialist would! We believe He could come at any time and live like it but we work as if He is not coming back for another hundred years. What about someone who is looking for the church to go through the tribulation? He would be looking for the wrath of God or for the revelation of the Antichrist, not the "any moment" coming of the Lord in the rapture.

14a John Gill sins against the text yet again by trying to limit the extent of the redemption of Christ. "Not for all mankind, but for many; for us, for all the elect of God, for the church; and who are represented when he gave himself, or died for them, as ungodly, sinners, and enemies." Such is what hyper-Calvinism does to a man's doctrine in attempting to limit the love and redemption of Christ as well as trying to convince us that we ought not to preach to sinners but only to the elect. Such is hyper-Calvinism, in denying that we should preach to the lost. If you have to "re-interpret" the text or have to tell us what the text "means" instead of what it clearly says, that exposes a serious flaw in your theological system.

14b Christ gave Himself for us. The blood atonement and redemption provided by His death is for all the world but is only active for those who put their faith in Christ. All men may be saved potentially in the death of Christ, but only those who believe are actually saved. He gave Himself on the cross for us, in our place, so that we would not have to go to hell ourself and suffer for our sins. He suffered our death, hell and judgment in our place so that we would not have to. He gave Himself to redeem us from all iniquity, not just some of it. Christ's redemption is not a partial one. We are totally and wholly forgiven through the redemptive work of Christ on the cross for all of our sins; past, present and future.

14c All iniquity, all sins, not just some! Christ is no half-Savior. What He does on behalf of His people He does correctly and thoroughly.

The pre-King James translations (except the Geneva) all use "unrighteousness" which might be better than "iniquity" here. The ESV has "lawlessness".

TITUS

16. These Things Speak 2:15a

2:15a These things^a speak, ^{present imperative} and exhort, ^{present imperative} and rebuke^{b-1651- present imperative} with all authority.

17. Let No Man Despise Thee 2:15b

2:15b Let no man despise^{a-4065- present imperative} thee.^{b-c}

14d "**purify**" Strong's #2511 καθαριζω katharizô; to make clean, to cleanse, to free from defilement of sin and from faults, to purify from wickedness

14e "**peculiar**" Strong's #4041 περιουσιος periousios; from περι peri (Strong's #4012) beyond and ουσια ousia (Strong's #1511) substance, being; being beyond usual, special, what is one's own, belonging to one's possessions; a people selected by God from the other nations for his own possession, a private purse, a special acquisition of a member of a family distinct from the property administered for the good of the whole family. Christians are thus the private and unique possession of God.

14f The goal of His giving Himself for us was to purchase unto Himself, through His own blood, a peculiar people who would be zealous for all good, Godly works. Christ desired a people that He could call His own Who would love Him and be zealous for Him and His interests. This body of people is called the New Testament Church. We, of course, are not saved by good works but they play a vital role in our future judgment as a Christian. Our position in the Millennium will no doubt be largely determined by our ministry, or good works, as a Christian. We will not fall into the error as to say that since we are saved by grace then good works after salvation are not a necessity. They will not add to our salvation but they will certainly make it if a higher quality. A professing Christian who is devoid of good works has suffered a grave spiritual defect somewhere. The entire epistle of James tells us of the necessity of good works as a visible, outward sign or our inward regeneration. They are the spiritual fruit that others can see, inspect and hopefully imitate.

14g But what of the sinner and his good works? Augustine was right when he called the "good works" of the unregenerated nothing more than "splendid sins." They are but varnished sins. Why is this? Why are good works by sinners so vain? Because as water cannot rise higher than its source, good works cannot rise higher than its source, a heart that is sinful and depraved. Sinners who do good works do them for all the wrong reasons, not to honor and glorify God but to honor and glorify self.

15a What things? All these things Paul made mention of throughout this chapter. Titus is to speak, preach and teach all these things to his congregation.

15b Titus is to both rebuke (negative) and exhort (positive) these things, either as a condemnation for the wayward and disobedient or as an encouragement to the godly. It all depends upon the audience!

"rebuke" Strong's #1651 ελεγξω elegchô; admonish, convict, convince, tell a fault, rebuke, generally with a suggestion of shame of the person convicted, to reprehend severely, to chide, to admonish, to reprove, to call to account, to show one his fault, to demand an explanation. In Classical Greek, it meant to shame or disgrace. It can be an unpleasant thing to do but it is occasionally necessary as the disobedient, rebellious, heretical and apostate will seldom respond to kind words

15b This is similar to the exhortation to Timothy (1 Timothy 4:12) on the account of his youth. Nothing is made mention of Titus' age, but his subject material and the type of people he would be going to and ministering to would be enough cause for Paul to encourage him to not let anyone despise him or his message. Don't let their opposition or persecution make you cut back on the message or in your ministry.

TITUS

Titus is to use and exert all the authority he has and is not to let anyone intimidate him out of doing what must be done or saying what must be said.

15a **"despise"** Strong's #4065 περιφρονεω periphronēō; from peri περι (Strong's #4012) around and φρονεω phronēō (Strong's #5426) to think; to think beyond, depreciate, to consider or examine on all sides, despise. Used only here.

15c The ESV has "disregard" which is much weaker than "despise".

Titus Chapter 3

17. General Commands to the Cretians 3:1,2

3:1^a Put them in mind^{b-} present imperative to be subject^{present middle infinitive} to principalities^{746-c} and powers,^{1849-d} to obey^{e-3980} magistrates,^{f-} present middle/passive participle to be^{present infinitive} ready to every good work,^g

3:2 To speak evil⁹⁸⁷ of^{present middle/passive participle} no man,^b to be^{present infinitive} no brawlers,^{269-c} but gentle,^d shewing^{present middle participle} all meekness unto all men.^{e-f}

1-2a Paul gives more general commands that Titus was to lay before his congregations are given here.

1. **They are to be in subjection to the authorities and powers that were over them.**

"Principalities" is Strong's #746 αρχή archê; a commencement, beginning, magistrate, the first person or thing in a series, the leader. "Principalities" is from the French word "principalite" meaning the government of a prince. A principality is a kingdom, state, country or realm that is ruled by a prince (Laurence Vance, *Archaic Words and the Authorized Version*, page 270). Obey rulers and those in authority over you, both spiritually, politically, paternally. These are earthly principalities, not the same ones mentioned in Romans 8:38, Ephesians 3:10; 6:12, Colossians 1:16 and 2:15, which are heavenly. The Cretians were a restless lot and for them to subject themselves to the authority of anyone would require a large application of the grace of God to their hearts. But aren't most people like this anyway?

2. **They were to be ready to every good work.** The idea of doing good works figures prominently in Titus. It is a spiritual fruit that is to be manifested by all Christians as an evidence of their regeneration, obedience and spirituality.

3. **They were to speak evil of no man.** They are not to blaspheme man. We may criticize for that is often necessary, especially in polemics. But we are to always make sure that our criticisms are truthful and not personal or inaccurate.

"speak evil" Strong's #987 βλασφημῶ blasphemeô; to speak reproachfully, to rail at, to revile, to calumniate, to blaspheme

4. **They were not to be brawlers.** They are to be peaceable and not to be troublemakers. Christians will often be accused of being troublemakers when their only sin is preaching the gospel and attempting to bring about a moral reformation of society, based on Scriptural commands. But isn't it funny how no one accuses the drug dealers or the pornographers or the rock musicians or the booze peddlers of being troublemakers? But the Man of God is always being accused of being the troubler of Israel.

"no brawler" Strong's #269 ἀμαξος amachos; from α a (Strong's #1) without and μαρξή machê (Strong's #3163) battle, controversy; not disposed to fight, peaceable, not to be withstood, invincible, not contentious, abstaining from fighting.

5. **They were to be gentle.** This is tied into point 4 above. Again, we are not to be going about strong-arming people to be saved. We are not Moslems who evangelize at the point of a sword. If trouble is going to start in the community, let the enemies of the gospel be responsible for starting it. You do what the Lord commanded you to do. If they don't like it and respond wrongly to it, let the blame and the burden be on their shoulders, not yours.

6. **They were to be meek.** This is defined as being gentle and humble. It is a controlled strength that is manifested only when all other recourses have been exhausted. The meek man "stoops in order to conquer."

"meekness" Strong's #4240 πραυτεῖς prautes; mildness, humility, gentleness of spirit.

1b This also has the idea of warning people to do this, else there will probably be severe consequences!

TITUS

1c **"Put them in mind to be subject to principalities"** "By principalities, we are to understand the Roman emperors, or the supreme civil powers in any place. By powers, we are to understand the deputies of the emperors, such as proconsuls, etc., and all such as are in authority-under the supreme powers wherever we dwell. This doctrine of obedience to the civil powers was highly necessary for the Cretans, who were reputed a people exceedingly jealous of their civil privileges, and ready to run into a state of insurrection when they suspected any attempt on the part of their rulers to infringe their liberties. (Adam Clarke)."

The Tyndale uses "rulers". The Coverdale has "princes".

1d **"Powers"** is Strong's #1849 εξουσια exousia; power of choice, liberty of doing as one pleases, permission, physical and mental power, the ability or strength with which one is endued, which he either possesses or exercises, the power of authority and of right, the power of rule or government, the power of judicial decisions. These would be the higher governmental officials. Obey your government! No provision is made for those who find themselves under a government that may not be biblical or that may be oppressive. Christians who suffered under seventy years of Communism resisted the unlawful and unbiblical commands of their government (which is allowed) but never sought to overthrow their government by force. They sought to reform legally, from within while they prayed.

1e **"obey"** Strong's #3980 πειθαρχεω peitharcheō; from πειθομαι peithomai (Strong's #3982) to obey and αεζη aechē (Strong's #746) to rule, beginning; to be persuaded by a ruler, to submit to authority, to conform to advice.

1f The **"magistrates"** are the lower governmental officials and judges, elected or appointed, like mayors or governors. They are to be obeyed as they fulfill their duties. It is not always easy to obey some heathen District Court judge or Supreme Court justice or some infidel tax assessor. As long as their rulings would not force you to sin or go beyond the realm of the State, they are to be obeyed. When their laws would cause you to sin in order to obey them or when the State attempts to usurp the headship of Christ over the churches, then they are to be resisted. I did not say "overthrown". God put that infidel or atheist in that position and God will remove him. Who are you to try to undo what the Lord has done in that situation? You vote for him, campaign against him and do all you can lawfully do to oust him from office, but armed rebellion and overthrow are out of the question. I have always said that it is a good testimony to be a good citizen. The last thing we need are more Jehovah Witness-types who refuse to recognize any human government. That is just another reason why they are so despised. No one respects rebels, not even the unsaved.

The Geneva and ESV have no mention of "magistrates".

1g The question naturally arises regarding the duty of the Christian to his government. Are Christians to obey every government, regardless of what it does or what sort it is? Are Christians to obey a Communist government, a Socialist government, a Moslem government or a Humanist government? We need to see what Romans 13 says. *"1 Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. (2) Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. (3) For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: (4) For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. (5) Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake. (6) For for this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing. (7) Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honour to whom honour."* Let's briefly summarize this passage.

1. *"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers."* Every soul is to be subject to the powers that are ordained over it.

2. *"For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God."* Government is of God. A people have the kind of government that God has decreed for them, or that they deserve!

TITUS

3. *"Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation."* Political rebels are rebelling against the will of God in terms of that government.

4. *"For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil."* This is what the ruler, or magistrate is supposed to be doing- rewarding the good and punishing the evil. If the magistrate is not doing this, then he is an unfaithful minister and should be removed.

5. *"For he is the minister of God to thee for good."* The magistrate is a minister, or a deacon (the same Greek word is used) of God unto the citizenry. He must then acknowledge God and be oath-bound to execute the law of God in his duties. If he does not, then he is an unfaithful minister and should be removed. This is why I would support religious tests for government officials. How can he be a good minister of God if he does not know God, and is ignorant or indifferent to his law? Would you put a deacon like that on the deacon board in your church? Of course not. Then why put a civil "deacon" into political office who was unsaved and ignorant of the law of God? In order to qualify as a Biblical civil magistrate, he must acknowledge God, the deity of Christ and the trinity. He need not be a Baptist, just a Christian.

6. *"Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake."* It is a good testimony to be a good citizen and our conscience will not condemn us if we fulfill our duties as citizens.

7. *"For this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing. Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honour to whom honour."* Pay your taxes and your tribute. Jesus did in Matthew 17:24-27 and 22:15-22.

But what qualifies as Godly government? When is civil government so constituted that Christians may obey it without sinning? A Christian is to obey an unchristian government but they need not necessarily swear allegiance to it. We are to obey an unchristian government as long as we do not have to sin in so doing (Acts 4:19; 5:29). When that government demands a loyalty that we cannot give it or an obedience that would conflict with my obedience with God, then I am to obey God rather than man. Here is where we have to consider our duty to our current American government, which is non-christian (technically, America was never a "Christian" nation but was rather a Masonic one, founded on Masonic, rather than Christian ideals). If it was Biblical to rebel against England in 1776, then why is it wrong to rebel now in 2011, since our current government is much worse and more oppressive than King George III's ever was? Why does everyone say that we had a Christian duty or license to rebel from England in 1776 but that we today are to obey antichristian Washington? People are not thinking consistently on this issue today. If rebellion could be Biblically justified in 1776 then we should have no problem justifying it even more today (or even in 1861!).

So when can we support and swear allegiance to human government? When it is Biblical. We of course oppose the concept of State churches and Established churches but we can have a Biblical commonwealth without either. If our political leaders, from the local dog catcher up to the President, were to acknowledge the gospel of Christ and their obligations to enforce and promote Biblical law and Biblical principles in government and human affairs, and if our code of laws and structure of government was Biblical, then we could give total support and allegiance to it. But not until then. The early church obeyed Rome but never swore allegiance to it. They fulfilled their legitimate duties as Christians and Roman subjects but they did not support it. Eventually they managed to bring it down, although what they replaced it with was not much better.

The general principle then is that we are to obey government and the magistrate unless we must sin in so doing. When placed between the "rock and the hard place", we always obey God rather than man. Naturally, we want to obey both God and man if man agrees with God, but if that is not possible, then we obey God instead of man.

TITUS

18. Our Former State 3:3,4

3:3^{a-b} For we ourselves also were ^{imperfect} **sometimes foolish,** ⁴⁵³ **disobedient,**
deceived, ⁴¹⁰⁵⁻ ^{present passive participle} **serv** ^{present active participle} **ing** ^{divers} **lusts^c and pleasures,^d**
^{present active participle} **living** **in malice** ^{2549-e} **and envy, hateful, and hating** ^{present active participle} **one**
another.^f

2b If you criticize or attack a man, make sure you are not lying about him or slandering him. That is what is meant by "speaking evil". We occasionally have to attack, criticize or rebuke a man for legitimate reasons. That is not "speaking evil" if we are telling the truth as to why we are attacking him.

2c Paul was a fighter but he was no brawler, always starting trouble or physically assaulting his opponents. He did not retort "tit for tat" but was patient in dealing with his opponents.

2d "Gentleness was not reckoned a virtue among the Greeks; I do not suppose that the people in Crete had ever heard of it before Paul wrote this Epistle to Titus. Among the Romans and the Greeks, it seemed to be a virtue to stand up for your own, to be like a gamecock, who is always ready to fight, and will never miss a chance of fighting; but this Christian virtue of gentleness is a most amiable one, and greatly adorns the doctrine of Christ. The world has run away with this word gentle, and now calls many a person a gentleman who has no right to the name. I wish that every gentleman were indeed a gentleman. It is very significant that Moses, the type of the Lord Jesus under the law, was the meekest of men; should not Christians therefore excel in gentleness under this milder dispensation? (Charles Spurgeon)"

Christ was surprisingly gentle in His dealings with the Roman government and Pilate. He was respectful and honored them as the divinely-appointed magistrates, even if they were heathen. Christ showed much less patience with the hypocritical religious rulers of His day as if they were not worthy of the same honor. Paul followed this pattern in his dealings with Felix and Agrippa. We must be very careful in our attitudes toward The Power That Be, even if they are persecuting us to the death.

2e All men, not just believers or pleasant men, but to even the heathen, the hate-filled and the difficult.

2f Verses 1 and 2 show seven things that mark Christian conduct:

1. Being subject to powers
2. Obeying magistrates
3. Ready to do good works
4. Speaking evil of no man
5. Not brawling
6. Gentleness
7. Meekness. This is a great Christian virtue. It is a patient submission to the will of God and is the practice of patient in our dealings with other people. He is not one who is easily frustrated or provoked. It takes a great inner strength and discipline to manifest meekness, so it is not a virtue exhibited by the weak,

3a Paul says that we were once in the same spiritual condition that these Cretians are now in but since we have now been saved and born again, we are different, or are at least supposed to be. The Cretians were not alone in their sin and darkness and Paul wasn't "dumping" on them. He says that we were just as bad, before the kindness and love of God our Saviour appeared unto us. And if the grace of God could redeem and renew us, then it can do the same with the Cretians. This reads much like Romans 1.

3b The Cretian's current condition and our past one before our salvation:

1. **They are foolish.** Strong's #453 ανοητος anoetos; from α a, (Strong's #1) not and νοηο noeo (Strong's #3539) to comprehend, unintelligent; sensual, foolish, one who does not govern his

3:4^a But after that the kindness^{b-5544} and love of God our Saviour toward man appeared, aorist passive

lusts. No unsaved man can qualify as being wise, for the beginning of wisdom is the fear of the Lord (Proverbs 1:7). He may be "educated" and somewhat "intelligent" but he is foolish because he cannot be wise. A "foolish" man is one who watches "Dancing With the Stars" or "American Idol", who lives and dies by whether his favorite sports team wins or loses, thinks the Republicans or Democrats can "save the nation" or who follows the "Top 40" or who puts a lot of stock in "Oscars" and "Grammys".

2. **They are disobedient.** They are disobedient because they are yet unsaved and in their sins. God commands all men to repent (Acts 17:10). Until they do, every unsaved man on earth, no matter how "religious" he is, is disobedient against God. The disobedient man is one who knows what God says in the Scripture and openly rebels against it.

3. **They are deceived.** Strong's #4105 *πλανω* *planō*; to cause to stray, to lead astray, to lead aside from the right way, to wander, to roam about, to lead away from the truth, to lead into error, to be led aside from the path of virtue. "He thought the Catholic Church was Pro-Jewish during World War II. He thought Einstein was a brilliant intellect. He thought science and philosophy would solve man's problems. He thought computers would help man out. He thought Mary was sinless...He thought he would go to purgatory when he died. He thought a 10 o'clock newscast was giving him the truth. He thought the Bible was full of myths and legends and had contradictions in it. He thought sprinkling babies had something to do with salvation...He was deceived (Peter Ruckman, *Pastoral Epistles*, pages 376-377)."

4. **They serve lusts and pleasures.** If a man will not serve God then he will serve self and do all he can to gratify self. His god is his belly and he never misses a worship service.

5. **The live in malice and envy.** "**Malice**" is Strong's #2549 *κακια* *kakia*; badness, depravity, malignity, ill-will, desire to injure, wickedness that is not ashamed to break laws, a special form of vice, not viciousness in general. Malice is a special form of vice, not viciousness in general. They hated Christians and were envious of their rich neighbors. They wanted government to "soak the rich" at tax time. They kissed the feet of the person ahead of them on the ladder of success and kicked the head of the man below them. They had no love for man because they did not love God. Their envy was just a manifestation of their lusts and covetousness, mixed with a bad heart and a rebellious attitude.

6. **They are hateful.** They lie, slander and gossip. They would literally murder someone if they could get away with it. They do not hesitate to "damn" someone to hell if they crossed them. The unsaved hate everyone, including their own kind.

3c The ESV has "passions".

3d This has a generally negative connotation in Scripture. It involves carnal and fleshly pleasures that war against the soul.

3e "Malice" goes right along with "**envy, hateful, and hating**". These are all related sins.

3f This summation of negative attitudes (especially the "foolish" and "deceived" parts of it) would have been very offensive to the proud and educated Greek mind. Proud man hates to have the truth told about his natural state with God as Paul does here.

4a What brought about the change from this old manner of life to the one now enjoyed by Christians? The kindness and love of God toward us is what made the difference. The death of Christ on the cross and the plan of salvation that was made possible by the death of Christ made it possible for we spiritual Creteans to be made new creatures in Christ. This is exactly what the Creteans needed and it would be Titus' responsibility and charge that they were made aware of it. God's love and goodness are sharply

19. How We Are Saved 3:5-7

3:5^a Not by works of righteousness that we have done^{aorist} but according to his mercy he saved^{aorist} us, by the washing^b of regeneration^{c-d} and renewing^{e-342} of the Holy Ghost;

contrasted with the wickedness of man. This love of God appeared toward "man", not just the Calvinistic "elect."

4b "**kindness**" Strong's #5544 χηστοτης chêstotês; benignity, kindness, gentleness. This word has the harmlessness of the dove but not the wisdom of the serpent.

The ESV has this as :goodness".

5a Here is a summation of the doctrine of salvation, or soteriology.

1. **We are not saved by works of righteousness.** No man is justified by works of the law.

Believing on Christ is not a work according to Romans 4:4,5. The only "work" (the "work of God") that God accepts is that we believe on Christ (John 6:28).

2. **We are saved by the mercy of God.** God, in His infinite mercy, has saved us who believe. Mercy, kindness and love (3:4) are all elements in our salvation.

3. **We are saved by the washing of regeneration.** "Regeneration" is the act of making alive the dead or granting life unto the dead or energizing the dead. When we believe on Christ (not when we "pray a prayer" like the so-called "sinner's prayer"), we then are regenerated from spiritual death unto spiritual life and are born again and thus, saved. We are saved by the washing that accompanies this regeneration. Naturally, this "washing" is not water baptism for that is not mentioned anywhere in Titus. This verse cannot be used as a proof text for baptismal regeneration without doing great violence to the text. The regeneration is the washing. Baptism does not save for it is merely an act of obedience and identification with the death, burial and resurrection of Jesus Christ (Romans 6). Regeneration washes the sinner when he believes on Christ. This regeneration is an act of the Holy Spirit (John 3:5-8) where He applies the blood of Christ (Revelation 1:5) to the sin account of the sinner.

4. **The "renewing" is linked with regeneration.** The regeneration gives us spiritual life at salvation while the renewing deals with the moral and spiritual element of the man. The Holy Spirit renews the sinner, changing him (2 Corinthians 5:17) and allowing him to start bringing forth spiritual fruit. The old Adamic nature and his deeds need to be renewed by the Holy Spirit (not by self-reformation, psychology, religion or baptismal regeneration).

5. **This regeneration and renewal is shed on us abundantly.** We are the recipients of both the regeneration and its attendant washing, as well as the renewal of our spirits by the Holy Spirit.

6. **We are justified by the grace of Christ** in 3:7. We are not justified by our works or anything that we do, but rather by the grace of Christ.

5b The Tyndale, Coverdale and Bishops use "fountain". The Geneva and the ESV read as the King James. Many commentators and other modern versions will use "laver" here, trying to tie this verse to water baptism in their attempt to make water baptism a requirement for the new birth.

5c Titus 3:5 will not allow for an interpretation of baptismal regeneration. Didn't Paul just get finished saying "not by works of righteousness?" If there was ever a "work of righteousness", baptism was it! But the "work of baptism" cannot save anymore than any other religious work or rite can. Some ritualistic commentators and some translations will suggest the rendering "laver of regeneration" but there is no textual support nor theological support for such a reading.

5d "**regeneration**" Strong's #3824 παλιγγενεσια paliggenesia; from παλιν palin (Strong's #3825) again and γενεσις genesis (Strong's #1078) generation, nation; new birth, reproduction, renewal, recreation, the production of a new life consecrated to God, a radical change of mind for the better, restoration. The word

TITUS

3:6 Which he shed^{aorist} on us abundantly^a through Jesus Christ our Saviour;^b

3:7 That being justified by^{aorist passive participle} his^a grace, we should be made heirs^b according to the hope of eternal life.

20. Maintain Good Works 3:8

3:8 This is a faithful saying, and these things I will^{present middle subjunctive} that thou affirm constantly,^{present middle/passive infinitive} that they which have believed^{perfect active participle} in God might be careful^{present subjunctive} to maintain^{present middle infinitive} good works.^{a-b-c} These things are^{present} good and profitable unto men.

is often used to denote the restoration of a thing to its pristine state, its renovation, as a renewal or restoration of life after death. It is more than just “being born again”. It is an entirely new creation, a total renovation of all things and all compartments of the life, a total and radical transformation of all things. “Regeneration” is used by the Lord in Matthew 19:28 to refer to the millennium, so it can refer to an individual and to all creation. Water baptism regenerates nothing, which is why water baptism cannot be the meaning implied in this verse. Water baptism gets the body wet but does nothing to the inner man spiritually.

The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva all have “new birth” for “regeneration”.

5e **"renewing"** Strong's #342 ανακαινωσις anakainosis; renovation, complete change for the better. It's only other use is in Romans 12:2.

6a The ESV has “richly” instead of “abundantly” and “poured out” instead of “shed”.

6b Salvation is not shed on us through any sort of baptismal laver or practice of water baptism, but it was shed on us through the grace of God apart from any and all of our religious works. And water baptism would certainly qualify as a religious work!

7a Emphatic.

7b As a result of all this, we are made heirs according to the hope of eternal life. Heirship implies an inheritance and one part of our spiritual inheritance is eternal life.

8a Good works again! Titus is to affirm constantly the need for a practical, visible type of Christianity. Mere verbal profession with no James-type outward manifestation to back it up amounts to nothing. The faithful Christian will maintain good works, not to get saved or to stay saved, but because he is saved.

8b We continue to be amazed by some who continue to try to find some contention between Paul and James. Of course there is none, for James' emphasis is these "good works" which Paul stresses constantly and told Titus to emphasize. Just because Luther couldn't handle the paradox or understand how Paul and James were complimenting each other is no excuse for you to make the same mistake.

8c The Coverdale has “excel in good works”. The ESV has “devote themselves to good works.”

21. Avoid Foolish Questions 3:9

3:9^a But avoid ^{present middle imperative} foolish ^{b-3474} questions, ^{c-d-2214} and genealogies, and contentions, and strivings about the law; for they are ^{present} unprofitable and vain.^e

9a Titus is to separate himself unto good works but is to separate himself from certain things:

1. **Foolish questions.** Religion is full of this. Stick to the main topic of salvation and don't let anyone sidetrack you on questions like "Where did Cain get his wife?" or "Can God make a rock so heavy that He couldn't lift it?" The Man of God has more important things to do than bandy about with idiots who major in stupid questions or deal with questions that have no answer. These questions can be asked by honest seekers looking for honest answers but mainly they are asked by troublemakers looking to start strife or to discredit the gospel.
2. **Genealogies.** The Jews were always wondering about their family trees and proving their tribal backgrounds. Why would a Christian need to worry about such things? Here come the Jews again, or more accurately, the Judaizers, as Paul warned about in chapter 1, showing that they had an influential ministry (in a negative sense) on Crete that Titus would have to confront and deal with. They do have an honorable place in theology as God certainly spent a lot of space on them in 1 Chronicles 11, Matthew 1 and Luke 4, but emphasizing them can be an unprofitable pastime.
3. **Contentions.** Most polemics are good, profitable and necessary. There are many controversies that must be entered into. But do we need to get all wound up over stupid and unimportant questions? Before you enter into the arena of controversy, ask yourself "Is this really worth my time? Is this really important?"
4. **Strivings about the law.** Here come the Judaizers (Seventh Day Adventists) again, trying to get the grace preacher and believer all wrapped up over their legalistic interpretations and ceremonial law that the Christian has been delivered from. Don't waste too much time debating about the Sabbath observance or the dietary law. But you ought to make much of the moral law of God for that is still in effect and very important for the Christian!

These four things that Paul told Titus to avoid are all unprofitable and vain. To dwell on these is to waste your time and God's time. You will derive no spiritual profit from any of this and neither will your hearers and life is too short to be dealing with these questions and those who ask them. A Christian is only too happy to deal with the sincere questions of a genuine inquirer but fools and their contentions are simply wastes of time.

9b "**foolish**" Strong's #3474 moros; impious, godless, dull, stupid, heedless, blockhead, absurd.

9c "**Avoid foolish questions, and genealogies**" "In these the Jews particularly delighted; they abounded in the most frivolous questions; and, as they had little piety themselves, they were solicitous to show that they had descended from godly ancestors. Of their frivolous questions, and the answers given to them by the wisest and most reputable of their rabbins, the following is a specimen:

"Rabbi Hillel was asked: Why have the Babylonians round heads? To which he answered: This is a difficult question, but I will tell the reason: Their heads are round because they have but little wit.

"Q. Why are the eyes of the Tarmudians so soft? A. Because they inhabit a sandy country.

"Q. Why have the Africans broad feet? A. Because they inhabit a marshy country.

"But ridiculous and trifling as these are, they are little in comparison to those solemnly proposed and most gravely answered by those who are called the schoolmen...These, with many thousands of others, of equal use to religion and common sense, may be found in their writings. See the *Summa* of Thomas Aquinas, passim. Might not the Spirit have these religious triflers in view, rather than the less ridiculous Jews? (Adam Clarke)"

TITUS

22. Dealing With Heretics 3:10,11

3:10 A man that is an heretick^a after the first and second admonition reject;^{b-c- present}
middle/passive imperative

9d **"questions"** Strong's #2214 ζητησις zêtêsis; a searching, a dispute or its theme, debate, matter of controversy, a word used by the Greeks to indicate philosophical inquiry.

9e Tyndale uses "superfluous".

10a A heretic is either one that makes choice of an opinion upon his own judgment, contrary to the generally received sense of the churches, and prefers it to theirs, and obstinately persists in it; separates from them, forms a party, and sets himself at the head of them, whom he has drawn into the same way of thinking with himself: or he is one that removes and takes away a fundamental doctrine of Christianity, and draws away disciples after him.

"heretick" Strong's #141 ἁιρετικος hairetikos; fitted or able to take or choose a thing, schismatic, factious, a follower of a false doctrine, pertaining to choice, capable of choice. He is a false teacher and is a sect-maker, as he tries to make proselytes of believers to his position. They never do any soulwinning but instead seek to raid churches and steal their membership to their group.

"The heretic is really a factious person, more concerned about gathering adherents to himself and maintaining some sectarian view of truth, than falling into line with the entire body of revelation, seeking the blessing of all the people of God. His particular hobby may not be true, but he uses it to form a school of opinion (Harry Ironside, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*, page 273)."

The Tyndale and Coverdale has "given to heresy". The Bishops has "author of sects". The ESV has "stirs up division" which is not as good.

10b The heretic, after the necessary but unsuccessful admonitions, we are to reject. We are to separate ourselves from him for he will probably not separate himself from us. This is not necessarily church discipline but it certainly may be involved. Nothing is said of removing him from the local church as he probably is not a member of a local church. He is starting trouble from outside the church and is thus not subject to church discipline. Even if the church has not or cannot take any disciplinary action against the man, we may still be compelled to separate ourselves from him. We are to have nothing to do with him. We are to have no fellowship with him as long as he is continuing in his troublemaking and dissention.

How is Titus to deal with these people? Sooner or later, he would have to (as will we).

1. **Admonish him for his sin.** Confront him with his error.

2. **If he does not respond to the first admonition, do it again.** This is similar to the situation under Matthew 18 where the offending brother is confronted first in private then again with witnesses, before bringing him before the church. But this heretic is not a "brother" because the church is not mentioned in this admonition. Nothing is mentioned about going to him with two or three witnesses as related in Matthew 18. The procedures are different because the heretic is not in the same relationship as the wayward brother.

3. **If he will not admit his error and turn from it after two admonitions, then he is to be rejected.** Do not employ any more effort to reclaim him. Leave him to the Lord (or even Satan, for the destruction of his flesh, 1 Corinthians 5:5). After you have rejected him, then mark him to warn others (especially the weaker sheep and younger converts) that he is a heretic who could do great damage to their faith.

"A man that is an heretic-One who really turns aside from the truth, and sets up something contrary to the Word of God; what is to be done with him? "Burn him," says the Church of Rome. "Fine him, put him in prison," say other churches; but the inspired apostle says only this... Just exclude him from the church that is all. Leave him his utmost liberty to go where he likes, believe what he likes, and do what he likes; but, at the same time, you as Christian people must disown him, that is all you ought to do, except to pray and labor for his restoration. (Charles Spurgeon)." That is all well and good, but we wish Calvinists like Spurgeon would have been more consistent in this. Spurgeon is correct in this remark, but one of his

TITUS

3:11 Knowing^{perfect active participle} that he that is such is subverted,^{a- perfect passive} and sinneth,^{present} being condemned^{present participle} of himself.^b

23. Closing Remarks 3:12-15

3:12^a When I shall send^{future subjunctive} Artemas unto thee, or Tychicus,^b be diligent^{aorist middle} to come^{aorist infinitive} unto me to Nicopolis:^c for I have determined^{perfect} there to winter.^{aorist infinitive}

3:13 Bring Zenas the lawyer^a and Apollos^b on their journey^{aorist middle subjunctive} diligently, that nothing be wanting^{present subjunctive} unto them.

spiritual mentors, John Calvin, certainly didn't believe in this attitude, nor did the Protestants and Catholics of that era in their dealings with Baptist peoples and other so-called "heretics".

10c "Two things make up a heretic according to the common acceptance of the term now: an error in some matters of faith or stubbornness and contumacy in the holding and maintaining of it (Matthew Poole 3:804)."

11a The problem with the heretic is that he is subverted. He subverts his own faith (which is bad enough) but also injures the faith of others (which is even worse). He must be confronted and marked to limit the amount of damage he might inflict upon others. The heretic is suffering from mental illness in that he thinks he is greater and wiser than God. If he wasn't suffering from this insanity, then why is he guilty of elevating his thoughts and opinions above the eternal revelation of God. The heretic is a man who has elevated his own vain and pea-brained opinions and doctrines above that which God has laid down. His ultimate problem is final authority- he refuses to acknowledge God's and elevates his own!

"**subverted**" Strong's #1612 εκστρεφω ekstrephô; from εκ ek (Strong's #1537) out and στρεφω strephô (Strong's #4762) to turn; to turn or twist out, to tear up, to invert, to change for the worse, to pervert, to corrupt, to change for the worst. This is in the perfect tense, indicating a final state that will not change. The ESV uses "warped". The Tyndale, Coverdale and Geneva use "perverted".

11b This idea is that he condemns himself by his heresy.

12a Paul takes care of some housecleaning here. Paul had sent Titus to Crete but he also wanted Titus to visit him at Nicopolis, where Paul was planning to spend the winter.

12b "Tychicus" is called a "beloved brother" and a "faithful minister" in Colossians 4:7. He accompanied Paul from Corinth to Asia Minor (Acts 20:4), carried Paul's letter to the Colossian church (Colossians 4:7) and probably Paul's letter to the Ephesian church (Ephesians 6:21).

12c "Nicopolis" There are several cities by this name, one in Cilicia, one in Thrace and one in Epirus. We do not know which one is meant. The name means "city of victory", possibly because some battle was fought in that area.

13a "Zenas the lawyer" was not like the modern-day lawyer. Lawyers in this day were men who were trained in secular law but also who were trained in Biblical law, who spent time studying and applying the Law of Moses. What then does this say about modern lawyers who are as ignorant of the Law of God as any heathen would be? They may be educated in the laws of man but no man can rightly be referred to as a "lawyer" (in an honorable sense) until he has mastered his understanding of the divine Law of God, on which all laws of man must be based. May we all be Biblical lawyers! All of God's people should be expert in the law of God! Why Paul needed a lawyer is not given. He was in jail at this time but he may

TITUS

3:14 And let ours also learn^{present imperative} to maintain^{present middle infinitive} good works^a for necessary uses, that they be^{present subjunctive} not unfruitful.

have been anticipating a future trial. Was Zenas also trained in Roman law? If so, Paul would have needed him in preparation for a possible trial before Caesar. Paul was in and out of jail so often that he could have used good legal counsel!

13b "Apollos" We saw him in Acts 18:24-28, who developed into a powerful preacher and was a companion of Paul. I have always liked Apollos because he had a teachable spirit despite his education and oratorical skills. He allowed Aquila and Priscilla, who probably had far less education than he had, to instruct him more perfectly in the gospel (Acts 18:26). For an educated man to submit himself to the instruction of a less-educated teacher is a rarity when you consider just how proud (educated) human nature can be.

Apollos was a very educated man with obvious gifts and talents. Yet Paul never felt threatened by him that he might "usurp" his ministry or was jealous of him. This is how it should be in the ministry. There is no place for pride or ego among the brethren and we are all workers together for the glory of God, not for the glory of self. Paul and Apollos always had a good working relationship between them and there was never any suggestion of a rivalry or a competition between them.

I don't see any significance in Paul mentioning Zenas before Apollos, as if Zenas was "superior" to Apollos or that Paul liked Zenas better than Apollos. Some commentators try to read too much in the text.

14a Paul hits the theme of "good works" again in 3:14. We will here review the verses in the Pastorals where Paul deals with the theme of "good works":

1. 1 Timothy 2:10 But (which becometh women professing godliness.) with **good works**.
2. 1 Timothy 5:10 Well reported of for good works; if she have brought up children, if she have lodged strangers, if she have washed the saints' feet, if she have relieved the afflicted, if she have diligently followed every **good work**.
3. 1 Timothy 5:25 Likewise also the **good works** of some are manifest beforehand; and they that are otherwise cannot be hid.
4. 1 Timothy 6:18 That they do good, that they be rich in **good works**, ready to distribute, willing to communicate;
5. 2 Timothy 3:17 That the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all **good works**.
6. Titus 2:7 In all things shewing thyself a pattern of **good works**: in doctrine shewing uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity,
7. Titus 2:14 Who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of **good works**.
8. Titus 3:8 This is a faithful saying, and these things I will that thou affirm constantly, that they which have believed in God might be careful to maintain **good works**. These things are good and profitable unto men.
9. Titus 3:14 And let ours also learn to maintain **good works** for necessary uses, that they be not unfruitful.

Nine times in the Pastorals and 4 times just in Titus! And we could include Ephesians 2:10 "For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto **good works**, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them." Again we demand of those who imagine that there is a contradiction between Paul and James, how do you account for this emphasis on the necessity for good works by Paul, the ultimate preacher of grace? We say again and will until we die that there is no contradiction between Paul and James. On the contrary, they compliment each other. A man who can't (or won't) see that simply needs to study more and believe what he is reading.

TITUS

3:15 All that are with me salute ^{present middle subjunctive} **thee.**^a **Greet** ^{aoist middle imperative} **them that**
love ^{present active participle} **us in the faith.**^b **Grace be with you all.**^c **Amen.**

15a Paul does not mention who his companions were at the time of this writing but Titus probably knew who they were and who was with Paul at this time.

15b Greet those who are in fellowship with us and who love and practice the truth as we do.

15c This epistles begins (1:4) and ends with grace.

TITUS

Bibliography

Bullinger, Ethelbert, *The Companion Bible*
Clarke, Adam, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*
Gill, John, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*
Ironside, Harry, *Timothy, Titus, Philemon*
Jamieson, Fausset and Brown, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*
Kelly, William, *An Exposition of the Epistle of Paul to Titus and to that of Philemon*
Knox, James, *The Book of Titus, The Christ-Honoring Commentary Series*
North, Gary, *Boundaries and Dominion: The Economics of Leviticus*
Phillips, John, *Exploring the Pastoral Epistles,*
Poole, Matthew, *Commentary on the Whole Bible*
Ruckman, Peter, *The Bible Believer's Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles*
Rushdoony, R. J., *Institutes of Biblical Law*
Vance, Laurence, *Archaic Words and the Authorized Versio*
Vincent, M. R. *Word Studies in the New Testament*
Waite, D. A. *Bible For Today* newsletter
Wuest, Kenneth, *Word Studies in the Pastoral Epistles*
The Annotated Bible
Expositors Greek New Testament